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The comprehensive quarterly financial report was compiled for the purpose of updating the City's elected officials and executive staff on the fiscal health of the City.

All City fiscal data is not included in this report, only the selected major activities whose drastic change could adversely affect the City's ability to meet its obligations to the vendors, staff, and citizens.

This is the Finance Department's effort to provide timely, reliable, and transparent fiscal information so that decision makers will have up to date data to base their decisions on.

This report has been updated and prepared by the Finance Department (mainly Marisol Izaguirre). The figures in this report, based on the cost/benefit principle, are accurate. This report could not have been compiled without the cooperation of all of the City's Directors and City Manager. This is truly a team effort.

Should you have any questions concerning this matter, please feel free to contact me at (956) 702-5300 ext 129 or via email at juan.guerra@cityofpharr.com.
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## DETAL OF CHANGES \& TRENDS

# City of Pharr <br> Detail of Changes \& Trends <br> December 2009 

## General Ledger Status Budget vs Actual

## GENERAL FUND

Revenues:
Property tax and sales tax are budgeted at $67.2 \%$ of our budget. A Budget Amendment might need to be made in March to reduce sales tax revenues. Property tax is on track to meet budget estimate; sales tax is showing a negative trend (negative over $30 \%$ in October 09) that must be monitored. Budget cuts of expenditures might be necessary.

Expenditures:
Departments that are over budget at this point are: Police, Library, and Parks \& Recreation. There might be a legitimate reason; the Director's can answer to their budget activity. Due to the major decrease in sales tax revenues, cuts in budgeted expenditures might be necessary.

Comparison from last year:
Revenues are slightly down in total but mainly lacking in sales tax (offset by increase in property tx collections), sanitation and transfers in. Property taxes were mailed earlier this fiscal year than last so the difference in collections is expected to flatten out throughout the fiscal year. Sanitation will be lacking due to a change in billing/collection of dumpsters. Transfers In were greater last year due to Bridge transfers that were reduced with the intent of withdrawing the General Fund's reliance on the transfer. Expenditures are significantly higher in the Police Department (salaries), and lower in the Fire Department (purchased a fire truck last fiscal year). There might be a legitimate reason; the Director's can answer to their budget activity.

Summary:
One of the City's major revenues is showing a negative trend that must be monitored as well as departmental expenditures. Please review the revenue collection analysis for a better understanding. Budget cuts might necessary per the City Manager's analysis. The fund as a whole is balanced and is in a position to absorb the impact of the negative sales tax revenues for the fiscal year. The General Fund is healthy.

## UTILITY FUND

Revenues:
Water and sewer usage charges make up $95.7 \%$ of the budget. Both of these revenue sources are projected to meet their budget estimates. Sewer revenue is reported at $19 \%$ of budget, but the North Alamo sewer revenue has not yet been recorded. Once inputted, sewer revenues should be on track to meet budgeted amounts.

Expenses:
Expenses are positively handled. There are currently no major trends that indicate expenses being over budget.

Comparison from last year:
Revenues are up in water charges due to an increase in usage. They are down in OTHER revenue mainly due to a last year's NADBank grant activity. Expenses are higher in the Water Production Department. There might be a legitimate reason; the Director can answer to the budget activity.

## Summary:

The Utility's major revenues are on track to meet the budget estimates. The one thing that must be tracked is not billing, but collections. Collections are up by a decent amount, but should be tracked consistently. The Utility Fund is healthy.

## BRIDGE FUND

Revenues:
Toll collections are budgeted at $87 \%$ of our budget. Crossings are down this year, but due to the toll increase, revenues are greater this fiscal year than last. Crossings are showing a negative trend that must be monitored.

Expenses:
Expenditure groups that are over budget at this point are: Supplies \& Materials, Repairs \& Maintenance, Utilities, System \& Site Improvements, and Contractual Services. There might be a legitimate reason; the Director can answer to his budget activity.

Comparison from last year:
Toll revenue is greater this fiscal year. Transfers to the General Fund are lower this fiscal year as well as Personnel expenses. There were no other significant changes from prior year's revenues and/or expenses. There might be a legitimate reason; the Director can answer to the budget activity.

Summary:
Bridge crossing must be monitored. Due to the toll fee increase, revenues are on track to meet budget. Expenses must be positively managed. The Bridge Fund is healthy.

## GOLF FUND

Revenues:
Golf course, membership, and cart revenues account for $72 \%$ of the budget. Two of the three revenues indicate a healthy trend at this time; Golf Course revenues are slightly lower than budget. These revenues must be monitored when the seasonal activity changes.

Expenses:
Expenditure groups that are over budget at this point is: Insurance. There might be a legitimate reason; the Director can answer to his budget activity.

Comparison from last year:
This year's revenues are very comparable to last year. There were no other significant changes from prior year's revenues and/or expenses.

Summary:
Due to the Golf Fund activity being seasonal, once the Winter Texans leave, close monitoring of revenues and expenses must be monitored. The Golf Fund is healthy.

## CIP FUND

Revenues:
There are no sources of funds.

Expenditures:
There are no expenditure activities.

Comparison from last year:
Last year's expenses were over $\$ 167,000$ that was needed to finish projects.

Summary:
There are no funds available for General capital projects. Contributions are used to cover any remaining project expenditures that trickle in. This fund is provided to you because this was a huge source of our current negative financial position. This year's General capital expenditures are a MAJOR improvement in comparison to prior years.

## Statement of Available Resources

## GENERAL FUND

Current available resources are over $\$ 1,700,000$. Although this looks positive, this is barely enough to cover our operating expenditures while property tax comes in. High property tax collections are expected for the following quarter followed by very low collections. This must be monitored on a consistent basis. Cash flow has always been a major source of the City's past negative financial position. At this time, I do not foresee any major cash flow problems with the General Fund.

## UTILITY FUND

Utility Fund cash flow is currently healthy. At this time, I do not foresee any major cash flow problems with the Utility Fund.

## BRIDGE FUND

Bridge Fund cash flow is currently healthy. At this time, I do not foresee any major cash flow problems with the Bridge Fund.

## CONTINGENCY FUND

This fund serves as the City's emergency operating reserve account. The amount currently in place is still inadequate. It is a major improvement based on the City's financial history. There is a plan in place to bring it up to an appropriate level by the end of this fiscal year.

## Hidalgo County Property Tax Assessor \& Collector Report

Current property tax collections as a percentage are better than last fiscal years. Delinquent property tax collections as a percentage are also better than last fiscal years.

## Analysis of Major Revenue Sources

## Current Property Tax

Current tax collections are greater this year than last year by roughly $\$ 500,000$. Collection percentage is greater this year than last year by $2.77 \%$.

New delinquent tax attorneys took over collections last fiscal year. Delinquent tax collections are stated as lower by more than $\$ 1,000$, this is due to a year end entry reversal and was expected. Cash basis, collections are greater this year by $.21 \%$.

## Sales Tax Analysis - 2\% and 1.5\%

This report is based on actual cash flows. December collections were lower ( $-30.86 \%$ ) than anticipated. Sales tax collections are currently $20.53 \%$ less than last year. There is no trend information in our sales tax history to properly anticipate the change of this negative outcome. I will request a $15 \%$ decrease in sales tax budgeted revenues in the Budget Amendment.

## Annual Sales Tax Activity Analysis - FY 09/10

This activity is based on GAAP, the standards presented in our financial reports. The difference is two months of collections. To date, City's sales tax is $30.86 \%$ less than last year (roughly $\$ 318,000$ city-wide) and roughly $\$ 157,000$ less than what was budgeted. 11 of the last 12 months were negative. This information is material in respect to our budget. There is no traceable trend of sales tax collections emerging and should be closely monitored.

## Annual Sales Tax Activity Analysis - FY 08/09

This page is provided to analyze last year's activity. 10 of the 12 months were negative. Total sales tax decreased by $6.61 \%$. The City's sales tax collections were greater than our AMENDED budget by $\$ 83,000$.

## Sales Tax State Collection RGV Comparison

Pharr's comparison to the other Rio Grande Valley cities has not ranked in the top echelon of monthly activity. The normal position for Pharr has consistently been near the end of the regional activity ranking. This indicates a loss of opportunities, sales, revenues, and future growth. I would caution all decision makers to not only analyze the monthly activity, but our comparison to our regional neighbors. This will indicate the effectiveness of our economic retainage/growth activities. Pharr's sales tax monthly activity position is $12^{\text {th }}$ out of 12 . Pharr's year to date sales tax activity position is $12^{\text {th }}$ out of 12 .

## Utility Revenue Activity Analysis - Water \& Sewer

Water billing has remained fairly constant over last year's activity. Water billing collections has increased by a decent amount, but is still a change that needs to be monitored. Sewer billings have been consistent as well. Sewer billing collections have increased by a decent percentage as well. There is currently no major problem indentified by these activities. Collectively, total billing in water and sewer increased by roughly $\$ 9,000$ and collections have increased by roughly $\$ 333,000$.

## Utility Revenue Activity Analysis - Garbage \& Brush

Garbage billing and collections are indicating a negative trend; this is not comparable to last year due to billing and collection for dumpsters being handled by Waste Management instead of the City. Non-dumpster activity is comparable this year to last year. Brush billing and collection revenues are negative this year. This negative trend is indicated by a decrease in brush accounts. This activity must be monitored closely. Collectively, total billing in garbage and brush decreased by roughly $\$ 265,000$ and collections have decreased by roughly $\$ 375,000$.

## Pharr International Bridge Toll Collections

Collections this year have increased. The increase in toll fees helped revenues, but crossing's continued to decrease over last year's crossings. This is not a problem unique to Pharr; all other bridge crossings were also down. We currently have roughly $\$ 586,000$ more revenues than this time last year.

## Municipal Court Collections

This year's collections have shown an upswing in collections by more than $\$ 56,000$ than last year and more than $\$ 57,000$ less than the City's best collection year (FY 05/06). Last two years, traffic citations drastically increased. Last year, credit card and payments over the internet options have been provided for the collection efforts.

## Hotel/Motel Occupancy Tax Analysis

Occupancy tax collections are lower this year by an estimated $\$ 40,000$ this year in comparison to last year. This is mainly attributable to the regional economy. All other collections are comparable.

## 12-Step Fiscal Plan of Action Update

Step 1: Completed
Step 4: In Process
Step 7: Completed
Step 10: In Process

| Step 2: Completed | Step 3: Completed |
| :--- | :--- |
| Step 5: Completed | Step 6: No Action Yet |
| Step 8: In Process | Step 9: Completed |
| Step 11: Completed | Step 12: Completed |

## Year End Forecast of Revenues \& Expenses - Quarterly Update

## GENERAL FUND

Revenues are forecasted to be $\$ 1,187,800$ less than the amended budget due mainly to a lack of sales tax collections. Expenditures are forecasted to be $\$ 63,880$ more than the amended budget. A big reason for this increase is due to the assignment pay which was not budgeted.

## CIP Projects - Quarterly Update

## Construction In Progress Activity

Bridge: One open project, one closed. The Electronic Toll Collection System is fully implemented and closed. The Port of Entry Modernization project has just begun.
Utility: Many of the major projects for which debt was issued have begun. Major expenses are expected this and next fiscal year.
Governmental: There should be limited active projects. These projects are funded by the Paving and Drainage assessment fee, a possible debt issuance, and grants. NO PROJECTS SHOULD BEGIN WITHOUT HAVING CASH ON HAND.

## Construction In Progress Activity - Budget Status

Bridge: All projects have no current budgeted amounts. A budget amendment is expected.
Utility: All projects are within budgeted amounts.
Governmental: Paving \& Drainage fees are used to cover the expenditures needed to close out the projects listed until other funding sources are secured.

## Project Contractual Agreement Cost

This report serves as a detailed list of the project's contractual costs.

## Outstanding Debt Issuances

General Fund: All activity is normal. Next issuance that will be completed is the 1999 Certificates of Obligation. Currently over $\$ 18.46$ million is outstanding.
Bridge Fund: All activity is normal. Next issuance that will be completed is the 2006 Tax Notes. These notes were purposely short in terms of years so that the effects of other area bridge openings would not affect our previous City debt needs. Currently over $\$ 10.93$ million is outstanding.
Utility Fund: All activity is normal. Next issuance that will be completed is the 1988 Jr. Lien Revenue Bonds. Currently over $\$ 56.395$ million is outstanding.
Community Development Block Grant: All activity is normal. This issuance will be completed in 2022 but can be repaid with our current debt reserves. Currently over $\$ .525$ million is outstanding.

## Outstanding Capital Leases

There are currently six outstanding capital leases totaling over $\$ 3.189$ million. The next lease that will be paid in whole is the FY 06/07 SunTrust lease. The liability ownership is distributed between the following accounts: General Fund, Paving \& Drainage, Utility Fund, Golf Fund, and Bridge Fund.

## Grant Activity Status - Quarterly Update

## Schedule of Expenditures and Federal Awards

There were four departments that received federal grant funds. The departments are: Police, Fire, Community Development, and Utilities. They totaled nearly $\$ 1.215$ million in grant funds.

## Schedule of Expenditures and State Awards

There was one department that received state grant funds. The department is: Police. They totaled roughly $\$ 10,637$ in grant funds.

## Investment Report - Quarterly Update

## Investment Report Summary

This report complies with all provisions of the Public Funds Investment Act and the City of Pharr's investment policy.

## Detailed Investment Report

The City has over $\$ 65.2$ million in book and market value investments (including depository accounts). This is an increase of over $\$ 1.6$ million. More information is provided in the Investment Report Summary.

## Comparison of Portfolio to Policy Limits

The City is within all portfolio caps created in the City's Investment Policy. The caps are identified in: Types of Securities, Limits on Maturities, and Days to Maturity.

## Market Value Analysis

The market value of our portfolio is at $100.00 \%$ of book value. Book value identifies actual costs. Market value identifies the cost/value of the investments if they were put on the market for resale.

## Calculation of Weighted Average Maturity and Yield

Weighted average maturity is 1.0 days. This average is within our Investment Policy caps. This is the amount of days on average that our investments mature. Weighted average yield is $2.02 \%$. This is the average yield of our investments. This average is better than our benchmarks.

## Year To Date Investment Transaction Report

No investments matured this fiscal year.

## Depository Security Collateral Analysis

All funds were properly collateralized by at the end of the month our financial institution. City funds were at risk of being lost should the institution go bankrupt. During the month of October, during the highest balance in the quarter, funds were at risk. I contacted the bank and told them to increase the security collateral. They did so by roughly $\$ 1$ million. During the month of December, during the highest balance in the quarter, funds were at risk. I contacted the bank and told them to increase the security collateral. They did so by over $\$ 4$ million and will be identifiable in January's statement. Our bank currently has no software to identify high balances, only month end balances. This problem has been identified and is being worked on by our financial institution.

## CITY OF PHARR

## GENERAL LEDGER STATUS REPORT

NON AUDITED BUDGET VS. ACTUAL
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

|  | FY 09/10 |  | PERCENT OF BUDGET | BALANCE | Y-T-D ACTUAL | rior FY Y-T-D <br> Actual | --Variance-- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ANNUAL BUDGET | Y-T-D ACTUAL |  |  |  |  | Amount | Percent |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROPERTY TAXES | 13,147,200 | 4,484,928 | 34.11\% | $(8,662,272)$ | 4,484,928 | 4,045,353 | 439,574 | 11\% |
| SALES TAX | 7,835,500 | 1,744,632 | 22.27\% | $(6,090,868)$ | 1,744,632 | 2,195,277 | $(450,645)$ | -21\% |
| OTHER TAXES | 168,800 | 42,494 | 25.17\% | $(126,306)$ | 42,494 | . | 42,494 | 0\% |
| FRANCHISE FEES | 1,879,400 | 353,149 | 18.79\% | $(1,526,251)$ | 353,149 | 176,898 | 176,251 | 100\% |
| RENTAL FEES | 458,640 | 184,834 | 40.30\% | $(273,806)$ | 184,834 | 136,651 | 48,183 | 35\% |
| SANITATION FEES | 2,040,000 | 521,583 | 25.57\% | (1,518,417) | 521,583 | 653,154 | (131,571) | -20\% |
| DEBRIS \& BRUSH FEES | 936,000 | 225,633 | 24.11\% | $(710,367)$ | 225,633 | 254,103 | $(28,469)$ | -11\% |
| OTHER REVENUE PROD FACILITIES | 2,000 | - | 0.00\% | $(2,000)$ | - | 5,309 | $(5,309)$ | -100\% |
| MUNICIPAL COURT FEES | 1,070,000 | 252,027 | 23.55\% | $(817,973)$ | 252,027 | 179,888 | 72,139 | 40\% |
| OTHER FINES AND FEES | 140,400 | 48,783 | 34.75\% | $(91,617)$ | 48,783 | 36,562 | 12,220 | 33\% |
| BUILDING PERMITS | 220,000 | 65,952 | 29.98\% | $(154,048)$ | 65,952 | 118,073 | $(52,121)$ | -44\% |
| OTHER LICENSES AND PERMITS | 450,190 | 76,919 | 17.09\% | $(373,271)$ | 76,919 | 86,946 | $(10,027)$ | -12\% |
| INTERFUND TRANSFERS IN | 2,344,600 | 586,150 | 25.00\% | $(1,758,450)$ | 586,150 | 780,875 | $(194,725)$ | -25\% |
| SERVICE FEES | 529,510 | 111,123 | 20.99\% | $(418,387)$ | 111,123 | 89,966 | 21,157 | 24\% |
| OTHER FINANCING SOURCES | . | - | 0.00\% | [ | - | - | $\cdots$ | N/A |
| TOTAL REVENUES | 31,222,240 | 8,698,206 | 27.86\% | $(22,524,034)$ | 8,698,206 | 8,759,055 | $(60,849)$ | -1\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE | 947,390 | 205,605 | 21.70\% | 741,785 | 205,605 | 227,526 | 21,921 | 10\% |
| FINANCE DIRECTOR'S OFFICE | 679,370 | 151,469 | 2.2.30\% | 527,901 | 151,469 | 111,654 | $(39,8.15)$ | -36\% |
| POLICE DEPARTMENT | 10,366,060 | 2,797,255 | 26.98\% | 7,568,805 | 2,797,255 | 2,641,180 | $(156,076)$ | -6\% |
| TRAFFIC SAFETY | 1,205,350 | 283,849 | 23.55\% | 921,501 | 283,849 | 292,570 | 8,722 | 3\% |
| MUNICIPAL COURT | 391,270 | 102,560 | 26.21\% | 288,710 | 102,560 | 78,498 | $(24,062)$ | -31\% |
| FIRE DEPARTMENT | 5,331,710 | 1,365,676 | 25.61\% | 3,966,034 | 1,365,676 | 2,109,259 | 743,583 | 35\% |
| ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES | 455,470 | 106,707 | 23.43\% | 348,763 | 106,707 | 75,539 | $(31,168)$ | -41\% |
| STREET MAINTENANCE | 2,009,040 | 454,683 | 22.63\% | 1,554,357 | 454,683 | 462,251 | 7,568 | 2\% |
| MUNICIPAL LIBRARY | 1,048,200 | 293,186 | 27.97\% | 755,014 | 293,186 | 321,542 | 28,356 | 9\% |
| PARKS \& RECREATION | 2,028,340 | 544,854 | 26.86\% | 1,483,486 | 544,854 | 556,409 | 11,556 | 2\% |
| PLANNING \& CODE ENFORCEMENT | 910,510 | 237,071 | 26.04\% | 673,439 | 237,071 | 227,269 | $(9,802)$ | -4\% |
| SANITATION PRIVATIZATION | 1,680,000 | 265,666 | 15.81\% | 1,414,334 | 265,666 | 261,901 | $(3,765)$ | -1\% |
| DEBRIS COLLECTION EXPENSE | 600,000 | 87,473 | 1.4.58\% | 512,527 | 87,473 | 90,696 | 3,223 | 4\% |
| STREET LIGHTS | 600,000 | 114,815 | 19.14\% | 485,185 | 114,815 | 106,218 | $(8,597)$ | -8\% |
| TRANSFER OUT CONTINGENCY | 1,767,340 | 441,835 | 25.00\% | 1,325,505 | 441,835 | 654,100 | 212,265 | 0\% |
| OTHER TRANSFERS OUT | 123,690 | 32,273 | 26.09\% | 91,418 | 32,273 | 243,164 | 210,891 | 87\% |
| OTHER NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXP | 1,203,000 | 203,257 | 16.90\% | 999,743 | 203,257 | 267,425 | 64,168 | 24\% |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 31,346,740 | 7,688,234 | 24.53\% | 23,658,506 | 7,688,234 | 8,727,202 | 1,038,968 | 12\% |
| REVENUE OVER/(UNDER) EXPENOITURES | $(124,500)$ | 1,009,972 |  | 1,134,472 | 1,009,972 | 31,854 | 978,119 |  |

## CITY OF PHARR

## general ledger status report

NON AUDITED BUDGET VS. ACTUAL
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009
UTILITY FUND

|  | FY 09/10 |  | PERCENT of BuDget | BALANCE | Y-T-D ACTUAL | Prior FY Y-T-D $\qquad$ <br> Actual | --Variance-- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ANNUAL BUDGET | Y-T-D ACTUAL |  |  |  |  | Amount | Percent |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water revenues | 6,440,000 | 1,612,230 | 25.03\% | $(4,827,770)$ | 1,612,230 | 1,492,454 | 119,776 | 8\% |
| SEWER REVENUE | 5,212,000 | 1,011,075 | 19.40\% | $(4,200,925)$ | 1,011,075 | 1,092,370 | $(81,295)$ | -7\% |
| interest earned | 500,000 | 238,078 | 47.62\% | $(261,922)$ | 238,078 | 183,822 | 54,256 | 30\% |
| TRANSFERS IN-OTHER SOURCES | - | - | N/A | N/A | - | - | N/A | 0\% |
| other revenues | 18,200 | 4,527 | 24.87\% | $(13,673)$ | 4,527 | 624,440 | $(619,913)$ | -99\% |
| total revenues | 12,170,200 | 2,865,910 | 23.55\% | $(9,304,290)$ | 2,865,910 | 3,393,086 | $(527,176)$ | -16\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BOND ISSUE INTEREST EXPENSE | 1,807,460 | * | 0.00\% | 1,807,460 | * |  |  | 0\% |
| BOND ISSUE PRINCIPAL | 2,330,000 | - | 0.00\% | 2,330,000 | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | 0\% |
| BOND ISSUE FEES-MISCELLANEOUS EXP | 25,000 | 6,980 | 27.92\% | 18,020 | 6,980 | 10,650 | 3,670 | 34\% |
| ADMINISTRATION EXP | 554,200 | 112,758 | 20.35\% | 441,442 | 112,758 | 128,242 | 15,484 | 12\% |
| WATER PRODUCTION | 1,270,940 | 319,341 | 25.13\% | 951,599 | 319,341 | 255,627 | $(63,714)$ | -25\% |
| WATER distribution exp | 1,608,710 | 387,298 | 24.08\% | 1,221,412 | 387,298 | 407,161 | 19,863 | 5\% |
| SEWER PLANT EXP | 1,610,530 | 372,655 | 23.14\% | 1,237,875 | 372,655 | 366,490 | $(6,165)$ | -2\% |
| SEWER LIFT STATIONS | 612,560 | 70,198 | 11.46\% | 542,362 | 70,198 | 111,269 | 41,070 | 37\% |
| NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXP | 2,350,800 | 248,410 | 10.57\% | 2,102,390 | 248,410 | 183,927 | $(64,483)$ | -35\% |
| total expenditures | 12,170,200 | 1,517,640 | 12.47\% | 10,652,560 | 1,517,640 | 1,463,365 | $(54,275)$ | -4\% |
| REVENUE OVER/(UNDER) EXPENDITURES | . | 1,348,270 |  | 1,348,270 | 1,348,270 | 1,929,721 | $(581,451)$ |  |

## CITY OF PHARR

## general ledger status report <br> non audited budget vs. Actual <br> FOR THE PERIOD ENDED December 31, 2009

| BRIDGE FUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 09/10 |  |  |  | --Variance |  |  |  |
|  | ANNUAL | Y-T-D ACTUAL | PERCENT OF BUDGET | BALANCE | Prior FY Y-T-D |  |  |  |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BRIDGE TOLL REVENUES | 7,984,800 | 2,524,986 | 31.62\% | $(5,459,814)$ | 2,524,986 | 1,938,790 | 586,195 | 30\% |
| BRIDGE REVENUE FUND INTEREST E/ | 60,000 | 26,246 | 43.74\% | $(33,754)$ | 26,246 | 17,703 | 8,543 | 48\% |
| PESO EXCHANGE RATE INCOME | 50,000 | 22,733 | 45.47\% | $(27,267)$ | 22,733 | 15,607 | 7,126 | 46\% |
| RENTAL INCOME-LOADING DOCK | 70,000 | 17,898 | 25.57\% | $(52,102)$ | 17,898 | 17,850 | 48 | 0\% |
| TOLL TICKET SALES | 15,000 | 6,156 | 41.04\% | $(8,844)$ | 6,156 | 3,973 | 2,183 | 55\% |
| OTHER REVENUES | 186,190 | 46,547 | 25.00\% | $(139,643)$ | 46,547 | - | 46,547 | 0\% |
| TOTAL REVENUES | 8,365,990 | 2,644,566 | 31.61\% | $(5,721,424)$ | 2,644,566 | 1,993,923 | 650,643 | 33\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERSONNEL | 1,016,200 | 243,682 | 23.98\% | 772,518 | 243,682 | 225,046 | $(18,635)$ | -8\% |
| SUPPLIES \& MATERIALS | 73,000 | 29,595 | 40.54\% | 43,405 | 29,595 | 9,339 | $(20,256)$ | -217\% |
| BUILDING \& EQUIPMENT | 140,000 | 30,373 | 21.70\% | 109,627 | 30,373 | 21,273 | $(9,100)$ | -43\% |
| REPAIRS \& MAINTENANCE | 26,000 | 12,942 | 49.78\% | 13,058 | 12,942 | 7,513 | $(5,429)$ | -72\% |
| EQUIPMENT RENTALS | 13,000 | 736 | 5.66\% | 12,264 | 736 | 2,124 | 1,388 | 65\% |
| UTILITIES | 10,000 | 7,018 | 70.18\% | 2,982 | 7,018 | 19,586 | 12,569 | 64\% |
| VEHICLE USAGE | 52,500 | 5,450 | 10.38\% | 47,050 | 5,450 | 6,034 | 584 | 10\% |
| INSURANCE | 225,000 | 32,041 | 14.24\% | 192,959 | 32,041 | 56,931 | 24,890 | 44\% |
| DEBT SERVICE | 2,715,370 | $(3,903)$ | -0.14\% | 2,719,273 | $(3,903)$ | 66,161 | 70,064 | 106\% |
| SYSTEM \& SITE IMPROVEMENTS | 67,500 | 90,250 | 133.70\% | $(22,750)$ | 90,250 | 4,675 | $(85,575)$ | -1830\% |
| CONTRACTUAL SERVICES | 214,000 | 174,877 | 81.72\% | 39,123 | 174,877 | 17,122 | $(157,755)$ | -921\% |
| OTHER CONTRACTUAL \& SPECIAL SEI | 293,000 | 53,888 | 18.39\% | 239,112 | 53,888 | 25,174 | $(28,715)$ | -114\% |
| TRANSFERS OUT | 2,000,000 | 607,731 | 30.39\% | 1,392,269 | 607,731 | 751,047 | 143,316 | 19\% |
| CONTINGENCY | 1,517,220 | - | 0.00\% | 1,517,220 | - | - | - | 0\% |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 8,362,790 | 1,284,680 | 15.36\% | 7,078,110 | 1,284,680 | 1,212,025 | $(72,654)$ | -6\% |
| REVENUE OVER/(UNDER) EXPENDITURES | 3,200 | 1,359,886 |  | 1,356,686 | 1,359,886 | 781,898 | 577,988 |  |

## CITY OF PHARR

GENERAL LEDGER STATUS REPORT
NON AUDITED BUDGET VS. ACTUAL
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

| GOLF FUND | FY 09/10 |  | PERCENT OF BUDGET | BALANCE | Y-T-D <br> ACTUAL | Prior FY Y-T-D Actual | --Variance-- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ANNUAL BUDGET | Y-T-D ACTUAL |  |  |  |  | Amount | Percent |
| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| golf course revenues | 305,000 | 55,190 | 18.10\% | $(249,810)$ | 55,190 | 54,832 | 358 | 1\% |
| MEMBERSHIP FEES | 150,000 | 76,886 | 51.26\% | $(73,114)$ | 76,886 | 76,308 | 578 | 1\% |
| CART REVENUES | 180,000 | 49,873 | 27.71\% | $(130,127)$ | 49,873 | 52,456 | $(2,583)$ | -5\% |
| FOOD \& BEVERAGE | 100,000 | 25,673 | 25.67\% | $(74,327)$ | 25,673 | 25,491 | 182 | 1\% |
| DRIVING RANGE | 45,000 | 5,175 | 11.50\% | $(39,826)$ | 5,175 | 9,416 | $(4,241)$ | -45\% |
| PRO SHOP SALES | 50,000 | 10,552 | 21.10\% | $(39,448)$ | 10,552 | 7,559 | 2,994 | 40\% |
| Other revenues | 52,000 | 13,074 | 25.14\% | $(38,926)$ | 13,074 | 21,887 | $(8,813)$ | -40\% |
| INTERFUND TRANSFERS | - | - | \#DIV/0! | - | - | - | - | 0\% |
| total revenues | 882,000 | 236,423 | 26.81\% | $(645,577)$ | 236,423 | 247,948 | $(11,525)$ | -5\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERSONNEL | 519,440 | 128,562 | 24.75\% | 390,878 | 128,562 | 131,648 | 3,086 | 2\% |
| SUPPLIES \& MATERIALS | 77,820 | 12,834 | 16.49\% | 64,986 | 12,834 | 17,655 | 4,820 | 27\% |
| REPAIRS \& MAINTENANCE | 21,000 | 3,419 | 16.28\% | 17,581 | 3,419 | 2,303 | $(1,116)$ | -48\% |
| EQUIPMENT RENTALS | 50,260 | 9,702 | 19.30\% | 40,558 | 9,702 | 10,425 | 723 | 7\% |
| UTILITIES | 26,000 | 9,332 | 35.89\% | 16,668 | 9,332 | 2,042 | $(7,290)$ | -357\% |
| VEHICLE USAGE | 14,000 | 1,932 | 13.80\% | 12,068 | 1,932 | 2,109 | 177 | 8\% |
| insurance | 8,290 | 6,254 | 75.44\% | 2,036 | 6,254 | 7,284 | 1,030 | 14\% |
| DEBT SERVICE | 14,290 | - | 0.00\% | 14,290 | - | 11,618 | 11,618 | 100\% |
| CONTRACTUAL SERVICES | 84,500 | 21,544 | 25.50\% | 62,956 | 21,544 | 14,188 | $(7,356)$ | -52\% |
| OTHER CONTRACTUAL \& SPECIAL SEI | 36,400 | 6,121 | 16.82\% | 30,279 | 6,121 | 8,853 | 2,732 | 31\% |
| TRANSFERS OUT | 30,000 | 7,500 | 25.00\% | 22,500 | 7,500 | - | $(7,500)$ | 0\% |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 882,000 | 207,200 | 23.49\% | 674,800 | 207,200 | 208,1.24 | 923 | 0\% |
| REVENUE OVER/(UNDER) EXPENDITURES | - | 29,223 |  | 29,223 | 29,223 | 39,824 | $(10,602)$ |  |

## CITY OF PHARR

## general ledger status report

 NON AUDITED BUDGET VS. ACTUALFOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

| CIP FUND | FY 09/10 |  | PERCENT OF BUDGET | BALANCE | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{D} \\ \mathrm{ACTUAL} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Prior FY Y-T-D <br> Actual | --Variance-- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ANNUAL BUDGET | Y-T-D ACTUAL |  |  |  |  | Amount | Percent |
| REVENuES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONTRIBUTIONS COUNTY | - | - | 0.00\% | - | * | - | - | - |
| TRANSFERS IN - PEDC | - | - | 0.00\% | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | - | - |
| total revenues | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIP-2006 STREET INVENTORY | * | - | 0.00\% | - | - | 19,500 | 19,500 | - |
| CIP - LIBRARY | - | - | 0.00\% | - | - | 18,146 | 18,146 | - |
| CIP - SUGAR RD EXPN PHASE II | - | - | 0.00\% | - | - | - | - | - |
| JUAN BALLI ROAD IMPROVEMENTS | - | - | 0.00\% | - | - | 129,090 | 129,090 | - |
| HALL ACRES WEST | - | - | 0.00\% | - | - | - | - | - |
| MINNESOTA TERRACE DRAINAGE |  | - | 0.00\% | - | - | 443 | 443 | - |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | - | - | 0.00\% | - | - | 167,178 | 167,178 | - |
|  | - | - | 0.00\% | - | - | $(167,178)$ | 167,178 | - |

STATEMENT OF AVAILABLE RESOURCES
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009

|  | GENERAL FUND | WATER FUND | BRIDGE FUND | GENERAL CONTINGENCY FUND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CASH |  |  |  |  |
| OPERATING CASH | - | 18,227 | - | 6,486,935 |
| CLAIM ON CASH | 2,352,301 | 1,383,733 | 2,221,983 | - |
| DUE FROM OTHER FUNDS | 299,929 | - | - | - |
| CHANGE FUND | 750 | 2,300 | 4,500 | - |
| CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT | - | - | - | - |
| CIP RECEIVABLE | - | 1,303,592 | - | - |
| TOTAL CASH | 2,652,980 | 2,707,853 | 2,226,483 | 6,486,935 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| ACCOUNTS PAYABLES | 450,536 | 94,623 | 15,442 | - |
| dUE TO OTHER FUNDS | 60,361 | - | - | - |
| OTHER DEFERRED REVENUE | - | - | - | - |
| UTILITY ACCOUNTS | - | 2,030,858 | - | - |
| ESCROWS | 380,074 | 10,623 | - | - |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 890,970 | 2,136,104 | 15,442 | - |
| TOTAL AVAILABLE RESOURCES | 1,762,010 | 571,749 | 2,211,040 | 6,486,935 |



| CITY OF PHARR CPR | ORIGINAL tax levy | collected TO DATE | MODF TO DATE | TAXES OUTSTANDING | PERCENT 2008/2009 | collected 2007/2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008 TAX ROLL | 14,697,907.74 | 4,738,327.03 | 209,069.09 | 10,168,649.80 | 31.79\% | 29.02\% |
| 2007 \& PRIOR YRS | 2,988,617.63 | 242,410.96 | (22,760.64) | 2,723,446.03 | 8.17\% | 7.96\% |
| ROLl.back | 18,639.45 | 15,939.09 | 4,422.06 | 7,122.42 | 69.12\% | 0.00\% |
| totals | 17,705,164.82 | 4,996,677.08 | 190,730.51 | 12,899,218.25 |  |  |


|  | CITY OF PHARR | MONTHLY MODIFICATIONS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CURRENT YEAR-BASE TAX | 3,981,233.83 | 120,733.33 (CPR) |
| CURRENT YEAR-P\&I | 0.00 |  |
| CURRENT SPECIAL. NVENTORY | 0.00 |  |
| ROLLBACK | 15,939.09 | 0.00 (RB) |
| ROLLBACK P\& | 0.00 |  |
| PRIOR YEARS-BASE TAX | 74,514.93 | (6,682.62) (CPR) |
| PRIOR YEARS-P\&I | 23,559.18 |  |
| ATTORNEY FEES | 14,195.24 |  |
| TOTAL COLLECTIONS | 4,109,442.27 | 114,050.71 |
| LESS REFUNDS | 0.00 |  |
| LESS TRANSFERRED | 3,059,320.89 |  |
| LESS IN TRANSIT | 1,045,035.51 |  |
| LESS DUE TO HCAD RENDITION PENALTY | 85.87 |  |
| LESS DUE TO CO TREASURER | 5,000.00 |  |

BALANCE

I, ARMANDO BARRERA JR., ASSESSOR-COLLECTOR OF TAXES FOR THE CITY OF PHARR, DO SOLEMNIY SWEAR THAT THE ABOVE STATEMENT OF TAXES COLLECTED BY ME FOR
THE MONTH OF DECEMBER 2009 IS CORRECT.


ASSESSOR-COLLECTOR OF TAXES FOR CITYZFPHARR, TEXAS


## City of Pharr

Current Property Tax Analysis

|  | Tax Yr 2004 <br> FY 04/05 | Tax Yr 2005 <br> FY 05/06 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tax Yr } 2006 \\ & \text { FY 06/07 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tax Yr } 2007 \\ & \text { FY } 07 / 08 \end{aligned}$ |  | Tax Yr 2008 <br> FY 08/09 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cax Yr } 2009 \\ & \mathrm{FY} \\ & 09 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | Difference <br> FY 09/10 - FY 08/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OCT. | \$ 247,286.44 | \$ 215,225.71 | \$ 285,612.65 | \$ 555,092.25 | \$ | 139,500.42 | \$ | 88,747.05 | (50,753.37) |
| NOV. | 624,423.47 | 764,147.95 | 917,250.90 | 912,641.77 |  | 494,626.61 |  | 690,655.03 | 196,028.42 |
| DEC. | 2,402,418.80 | 2,418,565.07 | 2,265,716.55 | 2,768,330.36 |  | 3,623,450.46 |  | 3,981,233.83 | 357,783.37 |
| JAN. | 3,401,724.51 | 3,769,150,83 | 4,758,269.75 | 4,913,544.88 |  | 5,876,620,51 |  |  |  |
| FEB. | 633,482.93 | 842,177.69 | 817,624.88 | 1,019,633.00 |  | 1,677,830.84 |  |  |  |
| MAR. | 247,544.27 | 356,837.19 | 340,723.36 | 320,127.86 |  | 476,003.11 |  |  |  |
| APR. | 164,901.38 | 153,707.85 | 149,180.76 | 231,843.60 |  | 245,274.70 |  |  |  |
| MAY | 209,324.25 | 185,903.08 | 192,237.90 | 270,594.17 |  | 201,462.90 |  |  |  |
| JUN. | 154,625.25 | 125,002.27 | 136,406.00 | 267,154.41 |  | 329,610.04 |  |  |  |
| JUL. | 90,301.38 | 82,213.07 | 137,111.00 | 158,829.12 |  | 119,606.99 |  |  |  |
| AUG. | 94,954.06 | 77,085.39 | 93,274.59 | 142,660.91 |  | 82,996.50 |  |  |  |
| SEP. | 85,422.63 | 82,113.82 | 62,800.72 | 73,774.42 |  | 123,823.40 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$8,356,409.37 | \$ 9,072,129.92 | \$ 10,156,209.06 | \$ 11,634,226.75 | \$ | 13,390,806.48 | \$ | 4,760,635,91 | \$ 503,058.42 |

1st Six Month Collection \& \% of Total Collection

| $\$ 7,556,880.42$ | $\$ 8,366,104.44$ | $\$$ | $9,385,198.09$ | $\$ 10,489,370.12$ | $\$$ | $12,288,031.95$ | $\$$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $90 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $9,760,635.91$ |  |  |  |

INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN CURRENT PROPERTY TAX COLLECTION

| $\$$ | $875,889.72$ | $\$ 15,720.55$ | $\$ 1,084,079.14$ | $\$ 1,478,017.69$ | $\$$ | $1,756,579.73$ | $\$$ | $503,058.42$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

INCREASE FROM PREVIOUS YEAR
$11.95 \% \quad 14.55 \% \quad 15.10 \% \quad 1.82 \%$

BUDGET VS. ACTUAL VARIANCE ANALYSIS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 8,463,693.00$ | $\$ 8,942,352.00$ | $\$ 10,116,891.00$ | $\$ 11,337,400.00$ | $\$$ | $13,022,670.00$ | $\$$ | $13,449,489.00$ |  |
| $\$(107,283.63)$ | $\$ 129,777.92$ | $\$$ | $39,318.06$ | $\$$ | $296,826.75$ | $\$$ | $368,136.48$ | $\$$ |
| $-1.27 \%$ | $1.45 \%$ |  | $0.39 \%$ |  | $2.62 \%$ |  | $2.83 \%$ |  |
| $-64.688,853.09)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

City of Pharr
Delinquent Property Tax Analysis

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tax Yr } 2004 \\ & \text { FY 04/05 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Tax Yr 2005 <br> FY 05/06 |  | Tax Yr 2006 <br> FY 06/07 |  | Tax Yr 2007 <br> FY 07/08 |  | Tax Yr 2008 <br> FY 08/09 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tax Yr } 2009 \\ & \text { FY 09/10 } \end{aligned}$ |  | DifferenceFY 09/10 - FY 08/09 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MONTH OCT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OCT. | \$ | 81,800.83 | \$ | 137,991.47 | \$ | 59,828.76 | \$ | 123,776.68 | \$ | 61,598.99 | \$ | 84,893.35 |  | 23,294.36 |
| NOV. |  | 57,276.57 |  | 49,770.95 |  | 74,999.07 |  | 49,677.58 |  | 71,419.15 |  | 63,693.80 |  | (7,725.35) |
| DEC. |  | 49,060.26 |  | 73,001.29 |  | 63,171.67 |  | 85,738.65 |  | 107,050.29 |  | 90,454.02 |  | (16,596.27) |
| JAN. |  | 77,120.82 |  | 78,993.00 |  | 105,120.26 |  | 120,731.01 |  | 129,202.27 |  |  |  |  |
| FEB. |  | 57,650.80 |  | 72,978.31 |  | 66,639.10 |  | 111,196.02 |  | 146,098.55 |  |  |  |  |
| MAR. |  | 84,625.19 |  | 62,183.88 |  | 84,988.36 |  | 80,075.80 |  | 108,294.90 |  |  |  |  |
| APR. |  | 66,827.31 |  | 48,014.12 |  | 61,534.33 |  | 84,812.81 |  | 89,343.93 |  |  |  |  |
| MAY |  | 45,133.59 |  | 45,686.40 |  | 56,161.75 |  | 87,984.02 |  | 78,883.29 |  |  |  |  |
| JUN. |  | 44,776.94 |  | 77,652.11 |  | 49,058.84 |  | 57,192.37 |  | 70,156.49 |  |  |  |  |
| JUL. |  | 27,350.05 |  | 59,178.46 |  | 57,395.14 |  | 38,112.06 |  | 52,910.51 |  |  |  |  |
| AUG. |  | 43,182.01 |  | 40,591.66 |  | 64,849.45 |  | 42,113.11 |  | 56,712.51 |  |  |  |  |
| SEP. |  | 35,624.85 |  | 43,081.67 |  | 60,129.05 |  | 56,331.96 |  | 35,682.70 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$ | 670,429.22 | \$ | 789,123.32 | \$ | 803,875.78 | \$ | 937,742.07 | \$ | 1,007,353.58 | \$ | 239,041.17 | \$ | (1,027.26) |

## AVERAGE PER MONTH

$\begin{array}{llllll}\$ 55,869.10 & \$ 65,760.28 & \$ 66,989.65 & \$ 78,145.17 & \$ 83,946.13 & \$ 79,680.39\end{array}$

INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN DELINQUENT PROPERTY TAX COLLECTION
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\$ & 57,155.56 & \$ 118,694.10 & \$ & 14,752.46 & \$ & 133,866.29 & \$ & 69,611.51 & \$ & (1,027.26)\end{array}$

INCREASE FROM PREVIOUS YEAR
9.32\% 17.70\%
$1.87 \%-16.65 \%$
7.42\%
$-0.43 \%$

BUDGET VS. ACTUAL VARIANCE ANALYSIS

| $\$$ | $600,000.00$ | $\$$ | $730,000.00$ | $\$$ | $825,000.00$ | $\$$ | $789,140.00$ | $\$$ | $750,000.00$ | $\$$ | $795,000.00$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | $70,429.22$ | $\$$ | $59,123.32$ | $\$$ | $(21,124.22)$ | $\$$ | $148,602.07$ | $\$$ | $257,353.58$ | $\$$ | $(555,958.83)$ |
|  | $11.74 \%$ |  | $8.10 \%$ |  | $-2.56 \%$ |  | $18.83 \%$ |  | $34.31 \%$ |  | $-69.93 \%$ |

## City of Pharr

Sales Total Tax Analysis (2\%) - Cash Basis

|  |  | FY 03/04 |  | FY 04/05 |  | FY 05/06 |  | FY 06/07 |  | FY $07 / 108$ |  | FY 08/09 | FY 09/10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OCT. | \$ | 725,011.18 | \$ | 776,350.16 | \$ | 843,086.50 | \$ | 939,313.09 | \$ | 902,156.67 | \$ | 929,359,98 | \$ | 759,706.14 |
| NOV. |  | 783,872.96 |  | 821,992.53 |  | 1,012,055.89 |  | 943,484.23 |  | 890,952.28 |  | 966,453.60 |  | 853,469.19 |
| DEC. |  | 720,707.68 |  | 768,818.77 |  | 846,309.39 |  | 821,443.45 |  | 917,855.84 |  | 1,031,222.93 |  | 713,001.19 |
| JAN. |  | 613,889.78 |  | 777,537.80 |  | 843,532.06 |  | 910,781.87 |  | 880,089.61 |  | 845,773,31 |  | - |
| FEB. |  | 827,152.48 |  | 868,338.82 |  | 981,294.84 |  | 1,007,532.98 |  | 1,032,033.97 |  | 897,042.67 |  |  |
| MAR. |  | 668,216.89 |  | 799,797.93 |  | 866,373.57 |  | 843,703.55 |  | 852,187.71 |  | 880,930.06 |  |  |
| APR. |  | 704,356.40 |  | 776,356.68 |  | 861,849.12 |  | 926,474.98 |  | 911,433.91 |  | 827,859.28 |  |  |
| MAY |  | 961,851.17 |  | 980,036.87 |  | 1,139,829.88 |  | 1,105,597.98 |  | 1,042,544.84 |  | 982,711.07 |  |  |
| JUN. |  | 778,813.56 |  | 775,149.96 |  | 934,163.66 |  | 907,870.43 |  | 955,008.41 |  | 872,034.78 |  |  |
| JUL. |  | 752,693.66 |  | 765,152.48 |  | 981,375.07 |  | 967,476.25 |  | 917,848.00 |  | 813,224.07 |  |  |
| AUG. |  | 910,925.29 |  | 900,826.26 |  | 1,086,142.56 |  | 1,001,456.87 |  | 989,718.19 |  | 976,485.25 |  |  |
| SEP. |  | 760,423.64 |  | 775,470.09 |  | 922,382.20 |  | 874,464.34 |  | 910,394.48 |  | 817,638.40 |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$ | 9,207,914.69 | \$ | 9,785,828.35 |  | 11,318,394.74 |  | 11,249,600.02 |  | 11,202,223.91 |  | 10,840,735.40 | \$ | 2,326,176.52 |
| AVERAGE PER MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$767,326.22 |  | \$815,485.70 |  | \$943,199.56 |  | \$937,466.67 |  | \$933,518.66 |  | \$903,394,62 |  | \$775,392,17 |
| INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN SALES TAX COLLECTION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$ | 577,913.66 | \$ | 1,532,566.39 | \$ | (68,794.72) | \$ | $(47,376.11)$ | \$ | (361,488.51) | \$ | $(600,859.99)$ |
| INCREASE FROM PREVIOUS YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 6.28\% |  | 15.66\% |  | -0.61\% |  | -0.42\% |  | -3.23\% |  | -20.53\% |
| BUDGET VS. ACTUAL VARIANCE ANALYSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | dget | \$ | 7,600,000.00 | \$ | 8,533,333.33 | \$ | 9,846,666.67 | \$ | 11,333,333.33 |  | 12,113,333.33 | \$ | 10,447,333.33 |
|  |  | d vs. Actual | \$ | 2,185,828.35 | \$ | 2,785,061.41 | \$ | 1,402,933.35 | \$ | $(131,109.42)$ | \$ | $(1,272,597.93)$ | \$ | $(8,121,156.81)$ |
|  |  | Difference |  | 28.76\% |  | 32.64\% |  | 14.25\% |  | -1.16\% |  | -10.51\% |  | -77.73\% |

## City of Pharr <br> Sales Tax Analysis - City \& Prop Tax Relief (1.5\%) - Cash Basis



## City of Pharr

Annual Sales Tax Activity Analysis FY 09/10-GAAP Basis

## Requiar City Tax (1\%)

|  | FY 09/10 |  | FY 08/09 |  | \$ Change |  | $\frac{\% \text { Change }}{-30.86 \%}$ | FY 09/10 Cum Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October | \$ | 356,500.60 | \$ | 515,611.47 | \$ | $(159,110.87)$ |  | \$ | $(159,110.87)$ |
| November |  | 0.00 |  | 422,886.66 |  |  |  |  |  |
| December |  | 0.00 |  | 448,521.34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| January |  | 0.00 |  | 440,465.03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| February |  | 0.00 |  | 413,929.64 |  |  |  |  |  |
| March |  | 0.00 |  | 491,355.54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| April |  | 0.00 |  | 436,017.39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  | 0.00 |  | 406,612.04 |  |  |  |  |  |
| June |  | 0.00 |  | 488,242.63 |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  | 0.00 |  | 408,819.20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| August |  | 0.00 |  | 379,853.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| September |  | 0.00 |  | 426,734.60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | \$ | 356,500.60 | \$ | 5,279,048.58 | \$ | $(159,110.87)$ | -3.01\% |  |  |

## Credit In Lieu of Property Tax (.5\%)

|  | FY 09/10 |  | FY 08/09 |  | \$ Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October | \$ | 178,250.30 | \$ | 257,805.73 | \$ | $(79,555.44)$ |
| November |  | 0.00 |  | 211,443.33 |  |  |
| December |  | 0.00 |  | 224,260.67 |  |  |
| January |  | 0.00 |  | 220,232.52 |  |  |
| February |  | 0.00 |  | 206,964.82 |  |  |
| March |  | 0.00 |  | 245,677.77 |  |  |
| April |  | 0.00 |  | 218,008.70 |  |  |
| May |  | 0.00 |  | 203,306.02 |  |  |
| June |  | 0.00 |  | 244,121.31 |  |  |
| July |  | 0.00 |  | 204,409.60 |  |  |
| August |  | 0.00 |  | 189,926.54 |  |  |
| September |  | 0.00 |  | 213,367.30 |  |  |
| Totals | \$ | 178,250.30 | \$ | 2,639,524.29 | \$ | (79,555.44) |

## PEDC (.5\%)

October
November
December
January
February
March
April
May
June
July
August September Totals

|  | FY 09/10 |  | FY 08/09 |  | \$ Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 178,250.30 | \$ | 257,805.73 | \$ | (79,555.44) |
|  | 0.00 |  | 211,443.33 |  |  |
|  | 0.00 |  | 224,260.67 |  |  |
|  | 0.00 |  | 220,232.52 |  |  |
|  | 0.00 |  | 206,964.82 |  |  |
|  | 0.00 |  | 245,677.77 |  |  |
|  | 0.00 |  | 218,008.70 |  |  |
|  | 0.00 |  | 203,306.02 |  |  |
|  | 0.00 |  | 244,121.31 |  |  |
|  | 0.00 |  | 204,409.60 |  |  |
|  | 0.00 |  | 189,926.54 |  |  |
|  | 0.00 |  | 213,367.30 |  |  |
| \$ | 178,250.30 | \$ | 2,639,524.29 | \$ | (79,555.44) |

\% Change FY 09/10 Cum Change $-30.86 \% \$(79,555.44)$
$\frac{\text { \% Change }}{-30.86 \%} \frac{\text { FY 09/10 Cum Change }}{\$}$
-3.01\%

| Total Sales Tax ( $2 \%$ ) |  | FY 09/10 | FY 08/09 |  | \$ Change |  | FY 09/10 Budget |  | Actual vs. Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| October | \$ | 713,001.19 | \$ | 1,031,222.93 | \$ | $(318,221.74)$ | \$ | 870,611.11 | \$ | (157,609.92) |
| November |  | - |  | 845,773.31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| December |  | - |  | 897,042.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January |  | - |  | 880,930.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| February |  | - |  | 827,859.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| March |  | - |  | 982,711.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| April |  | - |  | 872,034.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| May |  | - |  | 813,224.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June |  | - |  | 976,485.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  | - |  | 817,638.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August |  | - |  | 759,706.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September |  | - |  | 853,469.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | \$ | 713,001.19 | \$ | 10,558,097.15 | \$ | $(318,221.74)$ | \$ | 870,611.11 | \$ | (157,609.92) |

Annual Sales Tax Activity Analysis FY 08/09 - GAAP Basis

Regular City Tax (1\%)

|  | FY 08/09 |  | FY $07 / 108$ |  | \$ Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October | \$ | 515,611.47 | \$ | 458,927.92 | \$ | 56,683.55 |
| November |  | 422,886.66 |  | 440,044.81 |  | $(17,158.15)$ |
| December |  | 448,521.34 |  | 516,016.99 |  | $(67,495.65)$ |
| January |  | 440,465.03 |  | 426,093.86 |  | 14,371.18 |
| February |  | 413,929.64 |  | 455,716.96 |  | $(41,787.32)$ |
| March |  | 491,355.54 |  | 521,272.42 |  | $(29,916.89)$ |
| Aprit |  | 436,017.39 |  | 477,504.21 |  | $(41,486.82)$ |
| May |  | 406,612.04 |  | 458,924.00 |  | $(52,311.97)$ |
| June |  | 488,242.63 |  | 494,859.10 |  | $(6,616.47)$ |
| July |  | 408,819.20 |  | 455,197.24 |  | $(46,378.04)$ |
| August |  | 379,853.07 |  | 464,679.99 |  | $(84,826.92)$ |
| September |  | 426,734.60 |  | 483,226.80 |  | $(56,492.21)$ |
| Totals | \$ | 5,279,048.58 | \$ | 5,652,464.27 | \$ | $(373,415.70)$ |

Credit In Lieu of Property Tax (.5\%)

|  | FY 08/09 |  | FY 07/08 |  | \$ Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October | \$ | 257,805.73 | \$ | 229,463.96 | \$ | 28,341.77 |
| November |  | 211,443.33 |  | 220,022.40 |  | $(8,579.07)$ |
| December |  | 224,260.67 |  | 258,008.49 |  | $(33,747.83)$ |
| January |  | 220,232.52 |  | 213,046.93 |  | 7,185.59 |
| February |  | 206,964.82 |  | 227,858.48 |  | (20,893.66) |
| March |  | 245,677.77 |  | 260,636.21 |  | $(14,958.44)$ |
| April |  | 218,008.70 |  | 238,752.10 |  | $(20,743.41)$ |
| May |  | 203,306.02 |  | 229,462.00 |  | $(26,155.98)$ |
| June |  | 244,121.31 |  | 247,429.55 |  | $(3,308.23)$ |
| July |  | 204,409.60 |  | 227,598.62 |  | $(23,189.02)$ |
| August |  | 189,926.54 |  | 232,340.00 |  | $(42,413.46)$ |
| September |  | 213,367.30 |  | 241,613.40 |  | $(28,246.10)$ |
| Totals | \$ | 2,639,524.29 | \$ | 2,826,232.14 | \$ | (186,707.85) |

## PEDC (.5\%)

| October |
| :--- |
| November |
| December |
| January |
| February |
| March |
| April |
| May |
| June |
| July |
| August |
| September |
| Totals |


|  | FY 08/09 |  | FY 07/08 |  | \$ Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 257,805.73 | \$ | 229,463.96 | \$ | 28,341.77 |
|  | 211,443.33 |  | 220,022.40 |  | $(8,579.07)$ |
|  | 224,260.67 |  | 258,008.49 |  | $(33,747.83)$ |
|  | 220,232.52 |  | 213,046.93 |  | 7,185.59 |
|  | 206,964.82 |  | 227,858.48 |  | $(20,893.66)$ |
|  | 245,677.77 |  | 260,636.21 |  | (14,958.44) |
|  | 218,008.70 |  | 238,752.10 |  | $(20,743.41)$ |
|  | 203,306.02 |  | 229,462.00 |  | $(26,155.98)$ |
|  | 244,121.31 |  | 247,429.55 |  | $(3,308.23)$ |
|  | 204,409.60 |  | 227,598.62 |  | $(23,189.02)$ |
|  | 189,926.54 |  | 232,340.00 |  | $(42,413.46)$ |
|  | 213,367.30 |  | 241,613.40 |  | $(28,246.10)$ |
| \$ | 2,639,524.29 | \$ | 2,826,232.14 | \$ | $(186,707.85)$ |

\% Change
$12.35 \%$
$-3.90 \%$
-13.08\%
3.37\%
$-9.17 \%$
$-5.74 \%$
-8.69\%
-11.40\%
-1.34\%
-10.19\%
-18.25\%
-11.69\%
$-6.61 \%$

## \% Change <br> $12.35 \%$ $-3.90 \%$ <br> -13.08\% <br> 3.37\% <br> -9.17\% <br> $-5.74 \%$ <br> $-8.69 \%$ <br> -11.40\% <br> -1.34\% <br> -10.19\% <br> -18.25\% <br> -11.69\% <br> $-6.61 \%$

| \% Change | FY 08/09 Cum Change |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $12.35 \%$ | $\$$ | $28,341.77$ |
| $-3.90 \%$ | $19,762.70$ |  |
| $-13.08 \%$ | $(13,985.13)$ |  |
| $3.37 \%$ | $(6,799.54)$ |  |
| $-9.17 \%$ | $(27,693.20)$ |  |
| $-5.74 \%$ | $(42,651.64)$ |  |
| $-8.69 \%$ | $(63,395.05)$ |  |
| $-11.40 \%$ | $(89,551.03)$ |  |
| $-1.34 \%$ | $(92,859.26)$ |  |
| $-10.19 \%$ | $(116,048.29)$ |  |
| $-18.25 \%$ | $(158,461.75)$ |  |
| $-11.69 \%$ | $(186,707.85)$ |  |
| $-6.61 \%$ |  |  |

Total Sales Tax ( $2 \%$ )

| Tols | FY 08/09 |  | FY 07108 |  | \$ Change |  | FY $08 / 09$ Budget |  | Actual vs. Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October | \$ | 1,031,222.93 | \$ | 917,855.84 | \$ | 113,367.09 | \$ | 870,611.11 | \$ | 160,611.82 |
| November |  | 845,773.31 |  | 880,089.61 |  | (34,316.30) |  | 870,611.11 |  | (24,837.80) |
| December |  | 897,042.67 |  | 1,032,033.97 |  | (134,991.30) |  | 870,611.11 |  | 26,431.56 |
| January |  | 880,930.06 |  | 852,187.71 |  | 28,742.35 |  | 870,611.11 |  | 10,318.95 |
| February |  | 827,859.28 |  | 911,433.91 |  | $(83,574.63)$ |  | 870,611.11 |  | $(42,751.83)$ |
| March |  | 982,711.07 |  | 1,042,544.84 |  | $(59,833.77)$ |  | 870,611.11 |  | 112,099.96 |
| April |  | 872,034.78 |  | 955,008.41 |  | (82,973.63) |  | 870,611.11 |  | 1,423.67 |
| May |  | 813,224.07 |  | 917,848.00 |  | $(104,623.93)$ |  | 870,611.11 |  | $(57,387.04)$ |
| June |  | 976,485.25 |  | 989,718.19 |  | $(13,232.94)$ |  | 870,611.11 |  | 105,874.14 |
| July |  | 817,638.40 |  | 910,394.48 |  | (92,756.08) |  | 870,611.11 |  | (52,972.71) |
| August |  | 759,706.14 |  | 929,359.98 |  | $(169,653.84)$ |  | 870,611.11 |  | (110,904.97) |
| September |  | 853,469.19 |  | 966,453.60 |  | (112,984.41) |  | 870,611.11 |  | $(17,141.92)$ |
| Totals | \$ | 10,558,097.15 | \$ | 11,304,928.54 | \$ | $(746,831.39)$ | \$ | 10,447,333.33 | \$ | 110,763.82 |


| SALES TAX STATE COLLECTION RGV COMPARISON - DECEMBER 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Valley } \\ & \text { Rank } \end{aligned}$ | City | Net Payment This Period | Payment Prior Year | \% Change | 2009 Payments To Date | 2008 Payments To Date | \% Change |
| Rank Based on Current Month Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mercedes | 434,062.98 | 407,786.11 | 6.44\% | 6,078,623.67 | 5,730,074,64 | 6.08\% |
| 2 | Alamo | 212,856.78 | 218,707.35 | -2.67\% | 2,867,924.46 | 2,864,070.74 | 0.13\% |
| 3 | McAllen | 3,913,598.29 | 4,227,213.67 | -7.41\% | 53,613,678.01 | 58,864,799.64 | -8.92\% |
| 4 | San Juan | 195,701.40 | 212,469.72 | -7.89\% | 2,513,637.77 | 2,552,800.70 | -1.53\% |
| 5 | Mission | 957,911.94 | 1,069,976.67 | -10.47\% | 12,530,894.21 | 13,062,252.74 | -4.06\% |
| 6 | Weslaco | 656,331.79 | 733,418.31 | -10.51\% | 9,040,863.39 | 8,981,280.93 | 0.66\% |
| 7 | Donna | 119,824.66 | 134,502.16 | -10.91\% | 1,514,223.29 | 1,574,754.37 | -3.84\% |
| 8 | Harlingen | 1,370,522.07 | 1,655,512.31 | -17.21\% | 18,935,756.50 | 19,677,365.84 | -3.76\% |
| 9 | Brownsville | 2,163,273.63 | 2,619,534.68 | -17.41\% | 30,704,657,88 | 33,686,132.61 | -8.85\% |
| 10 | Edinburg | 1,013,015.10 | 1,242,450.90 | -18.46\% | 14,501,132.36 | 14,215,364.48 | 2.01\% |
| 11 | San Benito | 270,078.11] | 351,977.25 | -23.26\% | 3,632,018.59 | 3,992,420.84 | -9.02\% |
| 12 | Phari | 713,001,19 | 1,031,222.93 | -30.85\% | 10,239,875,41) | 11,418,296.63 | -10.32\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rank Based on Current 2009 To Date Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Mercedes | 434,062.98 | 407,786.11 | 6.44\% | 6,078,623.67 | 5,730,074.64 | 6.08\% |
| 2 | Edinburg | 1,013,015.10 | 1,242,450.90 | -18.46\% | 14,501,132.36 | 14,215,364.48 | 2.01\% |
| 3 | Weslaco | 656,331.791 | 733,418.31 | -10.51\% | 9,040,863.39 | 8,981,280.93 | 0.66\% |
| 4 | Alamo | 212,856.78 | 218,707.35 | -2.67\% | 2,867,924.46 | 2,864,070.74 | 0.13\% |
| 5 | San Juan | 195,701.40 | 212,469.72 | -7.89\% | 2,513,637.77 | 2,552,800.70 | -1.53\% |
| 6 | Harlingen | 1,370,522.07 | 1,655,512.31 | -17.21\% | 18,935,756.50 | 19,677,365.84 | -3.76\% |
| 17 | Donna | 119,824.66 | 134,502.16 | -10.91\% | 1,514,223.29 | 1,574,754.37 | -3.84\% |
| 8 | Mission | 957,911.94 | 1,069,976.67 | -10.47\% | 12,530,894.21 | 13,062,252.74 | -4.06\% |
| 8 | Brownsville | 2,163,273.63 | 2,619,534.68 | -17.41\% | 30,704,657.88 | 33,686,132.61 | -8.85\% |
| 10 | McAllen | 3,913,598.29 | 4,227,213.67 | -7.41\% | 53,613,678.01 | 58,864,799,64 | -8.92\% |
| 11 | San Benito | 270,078.11 | 351,977.25 | -23.26\% | 3,632,018.59 | 3,992,420.84 | -9.02\% |
| 12 | Pharr | 713,001.19 | 1,031,222.93 | -30.85\% | 10,239,876.41 | 11,418,295.63 | -10.32\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HIDALGO COUNTY |  | 8,660,512.12 | 9,772,730.18 | -11.38\% | 119,271,232.22 | 125,183,646.09 | -4.72\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STATE TOTALS |  | 279,699,835.47 | 328,585,395.70 | -14.87\% | 3,833,546,247.67 | 4,039,196,013.06 | -5.09\% |

## CITY OF PHARR

## Utility Revenue Activity Analysis



## CITY OF PHARR

## Garbage Revenue - Billed

## Utility Revenue Actiditydgenaly

|  | FY 05/06 | FY 06/07 | FY 07/08 | FY 08/09 |  | FY 09/10 |  | ERENCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October | \$ 232,328 | \$ 268,739 | \$ 396,864 | \$ 332,124 | \$ | 150,322 | \$ | $(181,802)$ |
| November | 234,457 | 270,067 | 185,042 | 257,940 |  | 176,212 | \$ | $(81,728)$ |
| December | 235,133 | 271,493 | 401,408 | 169,055 |  | 177,395 | \$ | 8,340 |
| January | 234,630 | 273,844 | 192,613 | 170,028 |  | - |  |  |
| February | 235,689 | 278,827 | 304,298 | 170,923 |  | - |  |  |
| March | 332,488 | 281,607 | 304,579 | 171,361 |  | - |  |  |
| April | 146,088 | 283,435 | 311,071 | 171,972 |  | - |  |  |
| May | 238,844 | 279,589 | 305,613 | 170,935 |  | - |  |  |
| June | 239,682 | 286,016 | 305,345 | 171,232 |  | - |  |  |
| July | 243,087 | 391,772 | 308,320 | 171,407 |  | - |  |  |
| August | 243,812 | 180,426 | 327,210 | 171,208 |  | - |  |  |
| September | 279,053 | 281,533 | 323,076 | 172,733 |  | - |  |  |
|  | \$ 2,895,291 | \$3,347,348 | \$ 3,665,438 | \$ 2,300,918 | \$ | 503,929 | \$ | $(255,191)$ |
| Average Per Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ 278,946 | \$ 305,453 | \$ 191,743 | \$ | 167,976 | \$ | $(85,064)$ |
|  |  | Increase/(Decrease) Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$ 452,057 | \$ 318,089 | \$(1,364,519) | \$ | $(255,191)$ |  |  |

Percent Increase From Prior Year

$$
16 \%
$$

$$
10 \%
$$

$-37 \%$
$-33.62 \%$

|  | FY 05/06 | FY 06/07 | FY 07/08 | FY 08/09 | FY 09/10 |  | DIFFERENCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October | \$ 194,035 | \$ 267,319 | \$ 314,061 | \$ 340,856 | \$ | 171,209 | \$ | $(169,647)$ |
| November | 238,687 | 242,411 | 244,710 | 321,878 |  | 158,831 | \$ | $(163,047)$ |
| December | 222,929 | 274,668 | 257,571 | 216,607 |  | 178,313 | \$ | $(38,293)$ |
| January | 261,670 | 283,059 | 330,581 | 168,144 |  | - |  |  |
| February | 226,485 | 243,099 | 292,663 | 170,395 |  | - |  |  |
| March | 246,848 | 295,113 | 328,877 | 178,236 |  | - |  |  |
| April | 151,962 | 207,672 | 291,751 | 166,448 |  | - |  |  |
| May | 245,770 | 380,760 | 330,824 | 163,378 |  | - |  |  |
| June | 264,368 | 239,468 | 316,709 | 206,852 |  | - |  |  |
| July | 202,395 | 255,398 | 290,740 | 179,700 |  | - |  |  |
| August | 265,848 | 310,425 | 348,653 | 159,158 |  | - |  |  |
| September | 181,039 | 262,562 | 305,151 | 172,756 |  | - |  |  |
|  | \$ 2,702,036 | \$ 3,261,956 | \$ 3,652,291 | \$ 2,444,408 | \$ | 508,354 | \$ | $(370,987)$ |

Average Per Month
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\$ & 225,170 & \$ & 271,830 & \$ & 304,358 & \$ & 203,701 & \$ & 169,451\end{array} \mathbf{\$} \quad(123,662)$
Increase/(Decrease) Activity
\$ $559,920 \$ 390,336 \$(1,207,883) \$(370,987)$
Percent Increase From Prior Year
$21 \% \quad 12 \%$
-33\%
-42.19\%

## Brush Revenue - Collected

|  | FY 05/06 |  | FY 06/07 |  | FY 07108 |  | FY 08/09 |  | FY 09/10 |  | DIFFERENCE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October | \$ | 36,158 | \$ | 76,565 | \$ | 88,388 | \$ | 75,194 | \$ | 76,287 | \$ | 1,092 |
| November |  | 39,684 |  | 68,230 |  | 71,277 |  | 63,913 |  | 70,047 | \$ | 6,133 |
| December |  | 38,166 |  | 83,413 |  | 70,184 |  | 89,075 |  | 77,232 | \$ | $(11,843)$ |
| January |  | 44,765 |  | 82,971 |  | 90,617 |  | 77,722 |  | - |  |  |
| February |  | 38,009 |  | 71,752 |  | 81,169 |  | 76,623 |  | - |  |  |
| March |  | 42,329 |  | 83,461 |  | 83,240 |  | 80,583 |  | - |  |  |
| April |  | 36,181 |  | 59,308 |  | 75,922 |  | 78,091 |  | - |  |  |
| May |  | 42,591 |  | 97,826 |  | 85,234 |  | 73,780 |  | - |  |  |
| June |  | 40,984 |  | 68,686 |  | 84,843 |  | 95,020 |  | - |  |  |
| July |  | 34,467 |  | 71,439 |  | 76,511 |  | 80,301 |  | - |  |  |
| August |  | 43,439 |  | 85,874 |  | 93,440 |  | 71,558 |  | - |  |  |
| September |  | 34,137 |  | 69,245 |  | 78,878 |  | 76,145 |  | - |  |  |
|  | \$ | 470,909 | \$ | 918,772 | \$ | 979,702 | \$ | 938,006 | \$ | 223,565 | \$ | $(4,618)$ |

## Average Per Month

$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\$ & 39,242 & \$ & 76,564 & \$ & 81,642 & \$ & 78,167 & \$ & 74,522\end{array} \$ \quad(1,539)$
Increase/(Decrease) Activity
\$ 447,863 \$ 60,930 \$ $(41,696) \$(4,618)$
Percent Increase From Prior Year
95\%
$7 \%$
$-4 \%$

City of Pharr
Pharr International Bridge Toll Collections

|  | FY 04/05 | FY 05/06 | FY 06/07 | FY 07/08 | FY 08/09 | FY 09/10 | Difference <br> FY 09/10 - FY 08/09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MONTH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OCT. | 562,789.97 | \$ 569,511.35 | 645,159.80 | 695,387.15 | 676,447.39 | \$ 825,868.51 | 149,421.12 |
| NOV. | 582,856.92 | 565,259.85 | 609,730.81 | 686,332.07 | 609,289.69 | 818,928.72 | 209,639.03 |
| DEC. | 592,704.39 | 632,348.33 | 623,317.20 | 667,555.07 | 653,053.23 | 880,188.28 | 227,135.05 |
| JAN. | 584,788.73 | 634,325.67 | 643,258.63 | 654,220.20 | 797,941.27 |  |  |
| FEB. | 608,195.26 | 599,877.41 | 637,710.55 | 683,539.88 | 753,684.65 |  |  |
| MAR. | 707,976.78 | 754,443.89 | 752,909.59 | 706,069.10 | 870,870.01 |  |  |
| APR. | 690,298.35 | 679,211.36 | 691,636.26 | 726,718.56 | 833,914.83 |  |  |
| MAY | 662,702.84 | 679,949.41 | 720,112.62 | 701,025.63 | 797,181.78 |  |  |
| JUN. | 640,966.90 | 663,175.10 | 683,582.27 | 686,322.41 | 810,019.81 |  |  |
| JUL. | 607,840.84 | 645,976.47 | 646,848.64 | 671,716.45 | 834,376.25 |  |  |
| AUG. | 613,405.78 | 661,315.21 | 677,232.45 | 678,066.93 | 742,407.99 |  |  |
| SEP. | 623,854.56 | 458,365.60 | 636,420.19 | 628,801.71 | 758,915.21 |  |  |
| TOTAL. | \$ 7,478,381.32 | \$ 7,543,759.65 | \$ 7,967,919.01 | \$ 8,185,755.16 | \$ 9,138,102.11 | \$ 2,524,985.51 | 586,195.20 |

AVERAGE PER MONTH
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\$ 623,198.44 & \$ 628,646.64 & \$ 663,993.25 & \$ 682,146.26 & \$ 761,508.51 & \$ & 841,661.84\end{array}$
INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN COLLECTIONS
\$ 908,945.24 \$ 65,378.33
\$ 424,159.36 \$
217,836.15 \$ 952,346.95
\$ 586,195.20

INCREASE FROM PREVIOUS YEAR
$13.84 \% \quad 0.87 \%$
$5.62 \%$
2.73\%
$11.63 \%$
$30.24 \%$

BUDGET VS. ACTUAL VARIANCE ANALYSIS

| $\$$ | $6,000,000.00$ | $\$$ | $7,050,000.00$ | $\$$ | $7,660,000.00$ | $\$$ | $7,800,000.00$ | $\$$ | $8,200,000.00$ | $\$ 7,984,800.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | $1,478,381.32$ | $\$$ | $493,759.65$ | $\$$ | $307,919.01$ | $\$$ | $385,755.16$ | $\$$ | $938,102.11$ | $\$(5,459,814.49)$ |
|  | $24.64 \%$ |  | $7.00 \%$ |  | $4.02 \%$ |  | $4.95 \%$ |  | $11.44 \%$ | $-68.38 \%$ |

## City of Pharr

## MUNICIPAL COURT COLLECTIONS

MONTH
OCT.
NOV.
DEC.
JAN.
FEB.
MAR.
APR.
MAY
JUN.
JUL.
AUG.
SEP.

TOTAL

| FY 04/05 |  | FY 05/06 |  | FY 06/07 |  | FY 07/08 |  | FY 08/09 |  | FY 09/10 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Difference } \\ \text { FY 09/10-FY 08/09 } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 38,462.25 | \$ | 79,669.38 | \$ | 71,279.38 | \$ | 80,743.96 | \$ | 78,526.92 | \$ | 85,266.39 |  | 6,739.47 |
|  | 39,730.00 |  | 65,499.00 |  | 48,993.18 |  | 56,507.88 |  | 48,906.07 |  | 99,358.46 |  | 50,452.39 |
|  | 37,039.00 |  | 49,637.00 |  | 43,325.37 |  | 38,207.92 |  | 68,144.16 |  | 67,401.90 |  | (742.26) |
|  | 73,361.31 |  | 78,564.15 |  | 59,765.95 |  | 62,215.46 |  | 84,223.90 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 85,209.00 |  | 87,402.00 |  | 55,320.64 |  | 71,237.54 |  | 109,288.06 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 72,573.75 |  | 89,294.00 |  | 68,146.79 |  | 64,452.44 |  | 88,150.70 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 61,262.00 |  | 59,973.36 |  | 46,671.62 |  | 77,583.06 |  | 70,777.98 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 80,940.88 |  | 96,203.20 |  | 54,445.41 |  | 51,911.89 |  | 64,578.61 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 66,648.00 |  | 72,794.00 |  | 61,940.30 |  | 54,780.79 |  | 80,238.59 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 71,788.25 |  | 88,508.78 |  | 56,056.32 |  | 68,188.83 |  | 80,354.26 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 89,692.50 |  | 73,003.25 |  | 57,466.06 |  | 47,198.41 |  | 64,389.76 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 88,971.93 |  | 60,638.26 |  | 106,874.63 |  | 58,144.02 |  | 63,075.35 |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 805,678.87 | \$ | 901,186.38 | \$ | 730,285.65 | \$ | 731,172.20 | \$ | 900,654.36 | \$ | 252,026.75 | \$ | 56,449.60 |

## AVERAGE PER MONTH

| $\$ 67,139.91$ | $\$ 75,098.87$ | $\$ 60,857.14$ | $\$ 60,931.02$ | $\$ 75,054.53$ | $\$$ | $84,008.92$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN COLLECTIONS

| $\$$ | $142,769.48$ | $\$$ | $95,507.51$ | $\$$ | $(170,900.73)$ | $\$$ | 886.55 | $\$$ | $169,482.16$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

INCREASE FROM PREVIOUS YEAR
21.54\% 11.85\%
$-18.96 \%$
$0.12 \%$
23.18\%
28.86\%

BUDGET VS. ACTUAL VARIANCE ANALYSIS

| + | 645,000.00 | \$ | 780,000.00 | \$ | 760,000.00 | \$ | 800,000.00 | \$ | 705,000.00 | \$ | 1,124,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 160,678.87 | \$ | 121,186.38 | \$ | (29,714.35) | \$ | $(68,827.80)$ | \$ | 195,654.36 | \$ | (871,973.25) |
|  | 4.91\% |  | 15.54\% |  | -3.91\% |  | 8.60\% |  | 27.75\% |  | -77.58\% |

City of Pharr
HOTEL / MOTEL OCCUPANCY TAX

| HOTEL / MOTEL | FY $07 / 08$ |  |  |  | FY 08/09 |  |  |  | FY 09/10 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q4-2007 | Q1-2008 | Q2-2008 | Q3-2008 | Q4-2008 | Q1-2009 | Q2-2009 | Q3-2009 | Q4-2009 | Q1-2010 | Q2-2010 | Q3-2010 |
| America's Best Value Int | 7,424.43 | 8,643.54 | 7,276.11 |  | 8,408.26 | 9,115.99 | 6,547.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comfort Inn/Quality Inn | 15,851.02 | 16,910,62 | 10,191.75 | 13,929.68 | 10,162.76 | 10,804.79 | 7,930.71 | 9,318.50 |  |  |  |  |
| Country Hearth Inn | 10,260.03 | 12,566.69 | 7,929.38 | 15,439.72 | 11,793.60 | 11,852.00 | 8.735 .82 | 10,173.80 | 7,679.97 |  |  |  |
| Country In \& Suites | 22,786.07 | 20,620,26 | 17,218.62 | 22,193.02 | 20,496.21 | 19,649.45 | 13,962.72 | 15,131.03 | 15,26804 |  |  |  |
| Fairwinds Executive Inn | 9,655.50 | 8,873.67 | 7,262.39 | 8,115.68 | $8,347.33$ | $8,390.24$ | 6,906.18 | 7,000.34 | 7,773.99 |  |  |  |
| Hampton Inn \& Suites | 39,690.07 | 40,503.89 | 38,500.42 | 44,782.99 | 39,282.18 | 35,160.65 | 33,685.82 | 31,354.33 |  |  |  |  |
| Holiday Inn Express | 36,566.25 | 37,936.51 | 36.512 .84 | 40,451,69 | 37,285 80 | 35,270.52 | 30,781.71 | 27,764.13 | 32,27112 |  |  |  |
| King 9 Motel | 4,017.44 | 3,016.00 | 3,190.25 | 3.216 .50 | 3,477.11 | 3,305.21 | 39,493.46 | 2,828.70 | $1,912.60$ |  |  |  |
| La Quinta lnn | 25,404.32 | 24,944.34 | 24,406.81 | 23,369.06 | 31,157.70 | 33,741,99 | 28,847.07 | $31,607.50$ | 29,07783 |  |  |  |
| Motel 6 | $17,759.43$ | 18,96110 | 16,561.93 | 21,704.40 | 22,409.75 | 23,507.70 | 21,382.50 | 19,505.90 | 18,896.77 |  |  |  |
| Penn-Ann Hotel |  | 2,679.81 | 1,932.84 | 2,655.24 | 2,290.61 | 2,533.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pharr Executive Inn | 1,251.86 | 1,685.52 | 1,899.21 | 2,042.54 |  | $1,886.86$ | 1,068.40 |  | 1,140.32 |  |  |  |
| Pharr Plaza Hotel | 5,149.21 | 5,300.18 | 5,092.84 | 5,204.16 | 4,915.12 | 5,381.45 | 4,780.26 | 5,073.51 | 4,935.93 |  |  |  |
| Red Carpet Inn | 9,670.32 | 10,135.99 | 8,675,61 | 6,537.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Red Roof Inn | $13,825.41$ | 13.462 .59 | 13,002.59 | 12,263.60 | 11,985.70 | 10,448.65 | 6,044.17 | 6,373.42 | 10,863,61 |  |  |  |
| Silver Spur | $4,378.60$ | 4,492.44 | 3,469.26 | 5,033.49 | 378288 | 3.429 .82 | 3,113.07 | 2864.36 | 2,161.81 |  |  |  |
| Sun Deck Motel | 671.16 | 643.16 | 583.80 | 558.74 | 628.67 | 656.74 | 628.39 | 619.92 | 691.04 |  |  |  |
| Value Place | 6,630.08 | 9,345.30 | 5,856.30 | 6,386.11 | 5,747,90 | 8.322 .54 | 6,942.32 | 5,516.34 | 6,083.40 |  |  |  |
| Veccho Motel | 952.35 | 969.01 | 945.07 | 91791 | 784.84 | 905.73 | 938.84 | 933.31 | 949.06 |  |  |  |
|  | 231,943.55 | 241,690.62 | 210,508.02 | 234,801.86 | 222,956.42 | 224,364.19 | 221,788.88 | 176,065.09 | 139,705.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| AVERAGE PER QUARTER: | \$229,736.01 |  |  |  | \$211,293.65 |  |  |  | \$34,926.37 |  |  |  |
|  | FY07108 |  |  |  | FY08/09 |  |  |  | FY09/10 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL COLLECTION PER FISCAL YEAR: |  | \$918,944.05 |  |  | \$845,174.58 |  |  |  | \$139,705.49 |  |  |  |
| INCREASE/(DECREASE) IN COLLECTIONS: | 279,439.26 |  |  |  | (73,769.47) |  |  |  | (529,404,00) |  |  |  |
| INCREASE FROM PREVIOUS YEAR: | 44\% |  |  |  | -8\% |  |  |  | -83\% |  |  |  |
| budget vs. Actual VARIANCE ANALYSIS: |  |  |  |  | \$650,000.00 |  |  |  | \$890,000.00 |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 918,944.05$ |  |  |  | $\$ 845,174.58$$130 \%$ |  |  |  | \$139,705.49 |  |  |  |
|  | 153\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16\% |  |  |  |
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# 12- STEP FISCAL PLAN OF ACTION UPDATE 

## STEP 1

Understand what happened in the past but do not dwell on it - need to move forward. In my opinion, culpability is not identifiable

The September 30, 2007 audit was completed on time, the first time in 19 years. With this action, the Finance Director was able to identify financial trends and positions that are not acceptable and warrant immediate attention. These findings were communicated in a public and transparent method. Our real, up-to-date, financial situation and its gravity was communicated to all interested parties via department head meetings, SEC filing disclosures, City Commission meetings, newspaper articles, and presentations to community organizations (Lion's Club, Rotary), all with the intent to inform everyone of the need to improve our situation. In order to correct a problem, we must first identify it; in this case, I believe we have thoroughly done so.

## UPDATE:

COMPLETED: Commission, management, and public are well aware of our situation and have begun appropriate plans to correct situation. Acknowledgement to never repeat the past fiscal situation was unanimously agreed to.

## STEP 2

Develop fiscal policies that would prevent this in the future: ex: set a minimum fund balance by commission approval that requires a unanimous vote to change or spend

One of the main issues that must be addressed to correct our fiscal situation is the development of fiscal policies that will guide City administration in the management of City fiscal activities. In order to do so, the Finance Director began a comprehensive review of resources that can assist in the development of the policies. Policies from numerous cities, accounting manuals, and governmental organizations were analyzed. Fiscal policies for the following activities were created and presented for approval to the City Commission on September 16, 2008: Budgetary, Revenue, Expenses, Fund Balance, Capital Improvement, Debt Service, Intergovernmental Relations, Grants, Fiscal Commission Monitoring, Financial Consultants, Accounting, Internal Control, and E-Commerce. Of interesting importance, is the creation of a separate contingency bank account that will hold and account for the City's contingency/unreserved fund balance funds. These policies were followed in the development of our upcoming budget.

## UPDATE:

COMPLETED: Fiscal policies were prepared and approved during the FY08/09 budget. This fiscal report along with the update on our 12-Step program is part of our fiscal policy. Minimum fund
balance was set at 60 days ( 90 days is the ultimate goal). Fund balance correction was budgeted at roughly $\$ 2.9$ million this fiscal year.

## STEP 3

## Monitor the status of the budget and fund balance - Monthly, Quarterly, or Mid-year

Fiscal policies have been developed. These policies identify monthly reporting requirements of financial activity. Beginning with the October 2008 month, the City Commission will be provided with a status of the budget activity as well as the available resources per fund. This will enable the Commission to be aware of any potential future problems as well as having current information to make timely governing decisions.

## UPDATE:

COMPLETED: This fiscal report along with the update on our 12-Step program is part of our fiscal policy. Finance and the other departments are coordinating financial reports monthly and quarterly covering all major fiscal activities and budgets.

## STEP 4

Control increasing the negative fund balance - reduce costs and unbudgeted spending: Legal Fees, Engineering Fees, Capital Projects

Fund balance is the net of assets over liabilities, which contains the net results of revenues and expenditures. If expenditures decrease, the fund balance will increase. The need to reduce and/or control the increase in cost was analyzed. Major expenditures that were identified as possible areas to quickly become more efficient were legal fees, engineering fees, and capital projects (engineering fees were mainly based on capital projects). Legal fees were reviewed and attorney fees were changed to hourly and therefore more accountable, versus the retainage method that was a standard unaccountable monthly fee. Engineering fees were contained by involving other engineering firms in City business; this enabled the City to compare fees, thereby reducing them. Capital projects were controlled by not starting any new ones. The objective was to complete the projects that were already started. Another method identified to control expenditures was the outsourcing of property tax collection activities to the County. Additionally, one of our future goal is to consolidate purchasing needs and bid them in bulk for better pricing.

## UPDATE:

IN PROCESS: Negative fund balance will now be fully funded by the end of this fiscal year. Legall fees and engineering fees have been relatively controlled and reduced. Employee benefits have been adjusted (health care and retirement supplements). Legal use of hotel/motel revenues have been identified by parks director thereby reducing needs from General Fund. Capital projects have not been budgeted and have had minimal expenditures in comparison to other fiscal years. Reimbursement of General Fund staff use by PCDC and Utility funds were correctly calculated. This action must continue into the budget process every vear. There is always room for improvement.

Agree on a long term plan to pay down debt (due to's/from)
During the most recent audit, a complex transaction method of interfund borrowing was identified. This method has been occurring for several fiscal years with no evidence of reconciliations ever taking place. The borrowings were minor as well as major in size. All minor debt has been repaid. Major debt owed by Golf Course, Capital Projects, and General Fund to Utility, Bridge, Capital Projects, and General Fund were presented to the City Commission for a resolution to forgive the borrowing and declare it bad debt. This debt was created mainly due to the completion of capital projects. Long term debt remaining is due to purchase of land, which will be repaid once land is sold.

## UPDATE:

COMPLETED: Reconciliations have taken place and deemed to be from years of unbudgeted expenditures. Most of the major debt would have never been repaid due to the revenue sources from Golf, Garage, and General funds. City Commission approved a plan to write them off as bad debt and move forward. The major debt from the complex transactions were owed to ourselves, one fund owed another fund. This problem has been corrected.

## STEP 6

## Increase the management availability and rate of decisions made on daily operating situations

The City Manager has many duties and responsibilities. The City's current needs require more attention than one person can realistically provide on a consistent and effective manner. Delegation of responsibility and oversight/control of City operations is needed to sustain and improve the level of operations the City conducts. It is highly recommended that one or two Assistant City Manager or Deputy City Manager positions be created and financed. One of the positions will be for oversight/control of operations, and the other for oversight/control of infrastructure/projects needs and activities (major costs and long-term implications if not done correctly).

## UPDATE:

## NO ACTION YET

## STEP 7

## Ensure that all City resources are accounted for and tracked

The City has vast resources that are for many different purposes and restrictions. All activities should be budgeted regardless of where the funding comes from due to the obligation to be responsible and transparent with tax-payer funds. In the upcoming budget, all City resources were accounted for and a
budget was created. The lack of capital project fund budgeting was a major factor of the City's current financial situation. This problem has been corrected through the City's recently developed fiscal policies.

UPDATE:
COMPLETED: Fiscal policies are being adhered to and therefore ALL City funds are accounted for and budgeted accordingly.

## STEP 8

## Consult City fiscal experts on decisions that have a long-term fiscal impact on City resources

No one knows the financial capabilities better than the Finance Director. The Finance Director's main responsibility is to maintain integrity, be transparent, and be accountable for city finances. The Finance Director should be included or consulted with on long-term decisions/plans for the proper analysis of financial capabilities. This will ensure that decisions made today can be carried out in the future without negatively straining the City's finances.

## UPDATE:

IN PROCESS: The Finance Director has been included in more decisions that have a hiscal impact on the City's funances. This is a STEP that should be continued. The City Manager and City Commission have improved in their reliance on the Finance Director. Prime examples are: City wireless infrastructure proposed project, health benefits, retirement supplements, PEDC $4 a / \mathrm{h}$.

## STEP 9

Concentrate on property tax \& sales tax opportunities and don't spend the results until fund balance is corrected

Although there is no major change in the economic activity in Pharr, a couple of significant changes are expected to occur in the upcoming fiscal year. These changes are expected to increase the sales and property tax revenues. The expected growth was not included in the upcoming budget. Should the growth occur, the new funds will improve the City's fund balance. New revenue growth will continue to be restricted until the fund balance is at an ideal amount.

## UPDATE:

COMPLETED: New revenue growth was not used during last fiscal year. This fiscal year, the new revenue growth was budgeted as an increase to the fund balance. The budgeted amount is $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 6}, \mathbf{3 6 0}$.

## STEP 10

## Sell excess or surplus properties

The police department spearheaded a collection of City-owned assets and had them declared as surplus property by the Commission. An auction held for the surplus property was successfully held; more
auctions are planned. Surplus real-estate is currently being appraised for sale options. Over $\$ 2$ million is expected to be sold. All proceeds will be used to replenish our cash flow and fund balance situation.

## UPDATE:

IN PROCESS: This process is taking place in an informal manner. All City property not used for City purposes needs to be put on the real-estate market so that all potential buyers are aware of the available assets. No assets have been sold to outside parties. Hotel/Motel Fund recently paid the General Fund for the land south of the Convention Center that was purchased for possible expansion of the Convention Center parking lot. This is an appropriate use of Hotel/Motel funds. The amount paid to the General Fund was quickly used to increase the Contingency Reserve account and supplements the Unreserved Fund Balance. Old City Hall was leased to Pharr Literacy Center.

## STEP 11

## Utilize property tax revenue that was not on the tax roll for fund balance replenishment

The Hidalgo County Tax appraising software had a major glitch that was identified last fiscal year. The improvements on land were not taxed for several years. The actual amount of this glitch was not quantifiable by the County but is expected to be significant. This year, tax collection over current budget is in excess of $\$ 200,000$. These new funds were not expensed and budget amendment to increase spending did not occur. The funds are being used to improve the fund balance position. The result of the glitch is identified and budgeted in the upcoming tax year, which contains an increase in taxable property over $18 \%$.

## UPDATE:

COMPLETED: The property tax revenue that was identified last year was not used to increase revenues. The funds were used to replenish the fund balance. Property taxes did increase by $18 \%$ in the current budget. The City is estimated to replenish the fund balance this year by roughly $\$ 1.9$ million.

## STEP 12

## Collect funds owed by Pharr Economic Development Corporation (PEDC)

Due to several capital projects, at September 30, 2007, the PEDC owed the City over $\$ 1.18$ million in reimbursements. This year, reimbursement requests to the PEDC were made. PEDC made all reimbursements owed to the City for completed projects, and a reconciliation of the amounts owed once future projects are completed has taken place.

## UPDATE:

COMPLETED: All funds for capital projects owed to the City by the PEDC (4A Corp.) have been paid or are in the process of being paid.
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# YEAR END FORECAST OF REVENUES \& EXPENSES 

CITY OF PHARR

## IST QUARTER BUDGET PROJECTIONS

GENERAL FUND
FY 09/10

| FY 09/10 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADOPTED | PROJECTED |  |  |
| ANNUAL BUDGET | ENDING ACTIVITY | OVER/(UNDER) | $\%$ |


| REVENUES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROPERTY TAXES | 13,147,200 | 13,147,200 | - | 0.00\% |
| SALES TAX | 7,835,500 | 6,647,700 | (1,187,800) | -17.87\% |
| OTHER TAXES | 168,800 | 168,800 | - | 0.00\% |
| FRANCHISE FEES | 659,400 | 659,400 | - | 0.00\% |
| RENTAL FEES | 458,640 | 458,640 | - | 0.00\% |
| SANITATION FEES | 2,040,000 | 2,040,000 | - | 0.00\% |
| DEBRIS \& BRUSH FEES | 936,000 | 936,000 | - | 0.00\% |
| OTHER REVENUE PROD FACILITIES | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | 0.00\% |
| MUNICIPAL COURT FEES | 1,070,000 | 1,070,000 | - | 0.00\% |
| OTHER FINES AND FEES | 140,400 | 140,400 | - | 0.00\% |
| BUILDING PERMITS | 220,000 | 220,000 | - | 0.00\% |
| OTHER LICENSES AND PERMITS | 450,190 | 450,190 | - | 0.00\% |
| INTERFUND TRANSFERS IN | 2,344,600 | 2,344,600 | - | 0.00\% |
| SERVICE FEES | 529,510 | 529,510 | - | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL REVENUES | 30,002,240 | 30,002,240 | $(1,187,800)$ | -3.96\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |  |
| CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE | 947,390 | 947,390 | - | 0.00\% |
| FiNANCE DIRECTOR'S OFFICE | 679,370 | 679,370 | - | 0.00\% |
| POLICE DEPARTMENT | 10,366,060 | 10,351,060 | 15,000 | 0.14\% |
| PD TRAFFIC | 1,205,350 | 1,236,400 | $(31,050)$ | -2.51\% |
| MUNICIPAL COURT | 391,270 | 391,270 | - | 0.00\% |
| FIRE DEPARTMENT | 5,331,710 | 5,331,710 | - | 0.00\% |
| ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES | 454,470 | 459,470 | $(5,000)$ | -1.09\% |
| STREET MAINTENANCE | 2,009,040 | 2,051,870 | $(42,830)$ | -2.09\% |
| MUNICIPAL LIBRARY | 1,033,200 | 1,033,200 | - | 0.00\% |
| BUILDING MAINTENANCE | 2,028,340 | 2,028,340 | - | 0.00\% |
| PLANNING \& CODE ENFORCEMENT | 910,510 | 910,510 | - | 0.00\% |
| SANITATION PRIVATIZATION | 1,680,000 | 1,680,000 | - | 0.00\% |
| DEBRIS COLLECTION EXPENSE | 600,000 | 600,000 | - | 0.00\% |
| STREET LIGHTS | 600,000 | 600,000 | - | 0.00\% |
| TRANSFER OUT CONTINGENCY | 1,767,340 | 1,767,340 | - | 0.00\% |
| OTHER TRANSFERS OUT | 123,690 | 123,690 | - | 0.00\% |
| OTHER NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXP | 1,203,000 | 1,203,000 | - | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 31,330,740 | 31,394,620 | $(63,880)$ | -0.20\% |
| REVENUE OVER/(UNDER) EXPENSE | (1,328,500) | (1,392,380) | (1,251,680) | 89.89\% |

The above table shows each General Fund department's year to date forecast of the total year-end revenues and expenditures for Fiscal Year (FY) 2009-2010.

The following departments are the main reason for the projected increase in expenditures:

FINANCE DEPARTMENT - Estimating a decrease of the sale tax at an average of $15 \%$ from last year's endinb balance of $\$ 7,918,572$.

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES - Increase exists due to litigation representation.

PD TRAFFIC- Increase exists due to the adopted budget not showing Stand By Pay and an increase on Workman's Comp Claim Account based on HR trend.

POLICE DEPARTMENT - Savings exist due to a decrease in gas and oil.
STREET MAINTENANCE - Increase exists due to adopted budget not showing Stand By Pay and classifying custodian position incorrectly as part-time.

## CITY OF PHARR

## IST QUARTER BUDGET PROJECTIONS <br> FY09/10

GOVERMENTAL FUNDS
GENERAL CONTINGENCY RESERVE FUND:

|  | REVISIONS | ANNUAL BUDGET |  | FORECASTED ENDING balance |  | OVER/(UNDER) |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  | \$ | 1,876,440 | \$ | 1,876,440 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  | \$ | 1,876,440 | \$ | 1,876,440 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |

-There are no projected changes to the budget this quarter.

HOTEL MOTEL FUND:

|  | REVISIONS | ANNUAL BUDGET |  | FORECASTED ENDING balance |  | OVER/(UNDER) |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  | \$ | 884,600 | \$ | 884,600 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  | \$ | 1,081,190 | \$ | 1,081,190 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL |  | \$ | $(196,590)$ | \$ | $(196,590)$ | \$ | - |  |

- There are no projected changes to the budget this quarter.

ASSET SHARING FUND:

|  | REVISIONS | ANNUAL BUDGET |  | FORECASTED ENDING BALANCE |  | OVER/(UNDER) |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  | \$ | 305,000 | \$ | 305,000 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  | \$ | 305,000 | \$ | 305,000 | 5 | - | 0.00\% |
| total |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |

- There are no projected changes to the budget this quarter.

PARKLAND DEDICATION FEE FUND:

|  | REVISIONS | ANNUAL BUDGET |  | FORECASTED ENDING BALANCE |  | OVER/(UNDER) |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  | \$ | 31,000 | \$ | 31,000 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  | \$ | 270,000 | \$ | 270,000 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL |  | \$ | $(239,000)$ | \$ | $(239,000)$ | \$ | - |  |

- There are no projected changes to the budget this quarter.


# CITY OF PHARR <br> 1ST QUARTER BUDGET PROIECTIONS <br> FY09/10 

GOVERMENTAL FUNDS
CDBG FUND:

|  | REVISIONS | ANNUAL BUDGET |  | FORECASTED ENDING BALANCE |  | OVER/(UNDER) |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  | \$ | 2,394,560 | \$ | 2,394,560 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  | \$ | 1,589,400 | \$ | 1,589,400 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL |  | \$ | 805,160 | \$ | 805,160 | \$ | - |  |

- There are no projected changes to the budget this quarter.

DEBT SERVICE FUND:

|  | REVISIONS | ANNUAL BUDGET |  | FORECASTED ENDING BALANCE |  | OVER/(UNDER) |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  | \$ | 2,224,540 | \$ | 2,224,540 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  | \$ | 2,224,540 | \$ | 2,224,540 | \$ | $-$ | 0.00\% |
| total |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |  |

- There are no projected changes to the budget this quarter.


## CITY OF PHARR

1ST QUARTER BUDGET PROJECTIONS
FY09/10
PROPRIETARY FUNDS
CITY GARAGE FUND:

|  | REVISIONS | ANNUAL BUDGET |  | $\qquad$ |  | OVER/(UNDER) |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  | \$ | 444,760 | \$ | 444,760 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  | \$ | 444,760 | \$ | 447,360 | \$ | $(2,600)$ | -0.58\% |
| total |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(2,600)$ | \$ | $(2,600)$ |  |

-The City Garage Fund's adopted budget does not show Stand-By Pay.

## UTILITY FUND:

|  | REVISIONS | ANNUAL BUDGET |  | FORECASTED ENDING BALANCE |  | OVER/(UNDER) |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  | \$ | 12,170,200 | \$ | 12,170,200 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  | \$ | 5,102,740 | \$ | 5,102,740 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL |  | \$ | 7,067,460 | \$ | 7,067,460 | \$ | - |  |

- There are no projected changes to the budget this quarter.

PHARR INTERNATIONAL BRIDGE FUND:

|  | REVISIONS | ANNUAL BUDGET |  | FORECASTED ENDING BALANC |  | OVER/(UNDER) |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  | § | 8,365,990 | \$ | 8,365,990 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  | \$ | 8,365,990 | \$ | 8,428,490 | \$ | (62,500) | -0.75\% |
| total |  | \$ | - | \$ | $(62,500)$ | \$ | $(62,500)$ |  |

-The Bridge Fund is expected to end the year with an increase in expenditures due to an increase in operating, photography, chemical, and toll station supplies. There is also an increase in Workers Compensation Insurance due to an employee.

GOLF COURSE FUND:

|  | REVISIONS | ANNUAL budget |  | FORECASTEDENDINGBALANCE |  | OVER/(UNDER) |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ReVENUES |  | \$ | 682,000 | \$ | 682,000 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| EXPENDITURES |  | \$ | 881,600 | \$ | 881,600 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| total |  | \$ | $(1.99,600)$ | \$ | $(199,600)$ | \$ |  |  |

- There are no projected changes to the budget this quarter.


## CITY OF PHARR IST QUARTER BUDGET PROJECTIONS <br> FY09/10

FIDUCIARY FUNDS
VOLUNTEER FIREMEN PENSION:

|  | REVISIONS | ANNUAL BUDGET |  | FORECASTED ENDING BALANCE |  | OVER/(UNDER) |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUES |  | \$ | 31,000 | \$ | 42,000 | \$ | 11,000 | 35.48\% |
| EXPENDITURES | - | \$ | 12,300 | \$ | 12,300 | \$ | - | 0.00\% |
| TOTAL |  | \$ | 18,700 | \$ | 29,700 | \$ | 11,000 |  |

- Volunteer firemen change in forecast is due to the increase in interest revenue earned.
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# QUARTERLY UPDATES 

## CITY OF PHARR, TEXAS

## CONSTRUCTION IN PROGRESS ACTIVITY

AS OF December 31, 2009

|  | CIP EXPENSE <br> AS OF 9/30/08 |  | CURRENT YEAR ACTIVITY ENGINEER SERVICES |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CURRENT YEAR } \\ & \text { ACTIVITY } \\ & \text { CONSTRUCTION } \end{aligned}$ |  | CURRENT YEAR ACTIVITY OTHER |  | TOTAL <br> ACTIVITY |  | PROJECT COSTTO DATE |  | RETAINAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRIDGE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PORT OF ENTRY MODERNIZATION | \$ | - | \$ | 29,960 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 29,960 | \$ | 29,960 | \$ | - |
| ELECTRONIC TOLL COLLECTION SYSTEM |  | - |  | - |  | 90,250 |  | - |  | 90,250 |  | 90,250 |  | - |
| TOTAL BRIDGE |  | - |  | 29,960 |  | 90,250 |  | - |  | 120,210 |  | 120,210 |  | - |
| UTILITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WW COLLECTION SYSTEM |  | 2,627,165 |  | 48,370 |  | - |  | - |  | 48,370 |  | 2,675,535 |  | - |
| WW COLLECTION-LIFT STATION PROJET -A |  | 6,047,687 |  | 54,432 |  | - |  | - |  | 54,432 |  | 6,102,119 |  | 966,617 |
| WW COLLECTION-LIFT STATION PROJECT - |  | 8,357,974 |  | - |  | 341,579 |  | - |  | 341,579 |  | 8,699,553 |  | 671,796 |
| WW COLLECTION-LIFT STATION PROJECT - -C |  | 313,027 |  | - |  | 1,402,112 |  | - |  | 1,402,112 |  | 1,715,139 |  | 190,000 |
| WW COLLECTION-LIFT STATION PROJECT -D |  | - |  | - |  | 228,911 |  | - |  | 228,911 |  | 228,911 |  | 30,000 |
| WW TREATMENT PLANT |  | 1,239,590 |  | 63,350 |  | - |  | 8,000 |  | 71,350 |  | 1,310,940 |  | - |
| WATER TREATMENT PLANT |  | 962,961 |  | . |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 962,961 |  | - |
| E. POLK W/L UPGRADE |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| SUGAR ROAD UTILITY RELOCATION |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| RAW WATER PUMP |  | 1,024,804 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | -- |  | 1,024,804 |  | -- |
| TOTAL UTILITY |  | 20,573,208 |  | 166,152 |  | 1,972,602 |  | 8,000 |  | 2,146,754 |  | 22,719,962 |  | 1,858,413 |
| GOVERNMENTAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HALL ACRES WEST I |  | - |  | 86,400 |  | - |  | - |  | 86,400 |  | 86,400 |  | - |
| HALL ACRES WEST II |  | - |  | 45,600 |  | - |  | - |  | 45,600 |  | 45,600 |  | - |
| DARLENE DRIVE DRAINAGE |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| OWASSA ROAD |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| SUGAR ROAD |  | 647,517 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 647,517 |  | - |
| W. SIOUX ROAD |  | - |  | - |  | " |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| E. RANCHO ROAD |  | $-$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | " |  | - |
| TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL |  | 647,517 |  | 132,000 |  | - |  | - |  | 132,000 |  | 779,517 |  | - |
| GRAND TOTAL CIP | \$ | 21,220,725 | \$ | 328,112 | \$ | 2,062,852 | \$ | 8,000 | \$ | 2,398,964 | \$ | 23,619,689 | \$ | 1,858,413 |

# CITY OF PHARR, TEXAS <br> CONSTRUCTION IN PROGRESS ACTIVITY-BUDGET STATUS 

AS OF December 31, 2009
BRIDGE
PORT OF ENTRY MODERNIZATION-ENG.
PORT OF ENTRY MODERNIZATION-CONSTR.
PORT OF ENTRY MODERNIZATION-OTHER
ELECTRONIC TOLL COLLECTION SYSTEM
TOTAL BRIDGE

## UTILITY

WW COLLECTION SYSTEM-ENGINEERING
WW COLLECTION SYSTEM-CONSTRUCTION WW COLLECTION SYSTEM-OTHER
WASTE WATER TREATMENT-ENGINEERING
WASTE WATER TREATMENT-CONSTRUCTION
WASTE WATER TREATMENT-OTHER
WATER TREATMENT PLANT-ENGINEERING WATER TREATMENT PLANT-CONSTRUCTION WATER TREATMENT PLANT-OTHER
E. POLK W/L UPGRADE - ENGINEERING
E. POLK W/L UPGRADE - CONSTRUCTION

SUGAR ROAD UTILITY RELOCATION
RAW WATER PUMP-ENGINEERING
RAW WATER PUMP-CONSTRUCTION TOTAL UTILITY

GOVERNMENTAL
HALL ACRES WESTI
HALL ACRES WEST II
WEST HALL ACRES ROAD UTILITY RELOCAT
DARLENE DRIVE DRAINAGE
OWASSA ROAD
SUGAR ROAD
W. SIOUX ROAD
E. RANCHO ROAD

TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL

GRAND TOTAL


|  | 104,000 |  | 86,400 |  | 17,600 | 83.08\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 136,000 |  | 45,600 |  | 90,400 | 33.53\% |
|  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
|  | 50,726 |  | - |  | 50,726 | 0.00\% |
|  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
|  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
|  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
|  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
|  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
|  | 290,726 |  | 132,000 |  | 158,726 | 0.00\% |
| \$ | 57,866,666 | \$ | 2,398,964 | \$ | 55,467,702 | 4.15\% |

## CITY OF PHARR, TEXAS <br> PROJECT CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENT/COST

AS OF December 31, 2009

|  | PROJECT CONTRACT COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ENGINEER SERVICES |  | CONSTRUCTION |  | OTHER |  | TOTAL COST |  |
| PORT OF ENTRY MODERNIZATION-ENG. ELECTRONIC TOLL COLLECTION SYSTEM | \$ | 416,649 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 416,649 |
|  |  | - |  | 904,000 |  | - |  | - |
|  |  | 416,649 |  | 904,000 |  | - |  | 416,649 |
| WW COLLECTION SYSTEM |  | 3,206,260 |  | 27,330,788 |  | - |  | 30,537,048 |
| WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT |  | 2,259,590 |  | 13,336,135 |  | - |  | 15,595,725 |
| WATER TREATMENT PLANT |  | 1,092,885 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,092,885 |
| E. POLK W/L UPGRADE |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| SUGAR ROAD UTILITY RELOCATION |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| RAW WATER PUMP |  | 104,750 |  | 1,046,184 |  | - |  | 1,150,934 |
|  |  | 6,663,485 |  | 41,713,107 |  | - |  | 48,376,592 |
| HALL ACRES WEST I |  | 104,000 |  | 1,139,342 |  | - |  | 1,243,342 |
| HALL ACRES WEST II |  | 136,000 |  | 1,110,198 |  | - |  | 1,246,198 |
| WEST HALL ACRES ROAD UTILITY RELOCAT |  | - |  | 536,800 |  | - |  | 536,800 |
| DARLENE DRIVE DRAINAGE |  | - |  | 50,726 |  | - |  | 50,726 |
| OWASSA ROAD |  | - |  | - |  | 141,000 |  | 141,000 |
| SUGAR ROAD |  | - |  | 3,700,000 |  | 647,517 |  | 4,347,517 |
| W. SIOUX ROAD |  | 73,127 |  | 474,434 |  | - |  | 547,561 |
| E. RANCHO ROAD |  | 217,892 |  | 2,178,920 |  | - |  | 2,396,812 |
|  |  | 531,019 |  | 9,190,420 |  | 788,517 |  | 10,509,955 |
|  | \$ | 7,611,153 | \$ | 51,807,527 | \$ | 788,517 | \$ | 59,303,196 |

## CITY OF PHARR

## OUTSTANDING DEBT ISSUANCES

## AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2009

Debt Type

GENERAL FUND

| Certificates of Obligation | 1999 | 5.53\% | 8/15/2010 |  | 2,165,000 | - |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 105,000 \\ 480,000 \end{array}$ | \$0 | \$105,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Certificates of Obligation | 2001 | 4.50\% | 8/15/2015 |  | 12,035,000 |  |  | 2,745,000 | 3,225,000 |
| General Obligation Refunding Bonds | 2005B | 3.50\% | 8/15/2021 |  | 16,110,000 | - - |  |  | 735,000 | 14,395,000 | 15,130,000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | 30,310,000 | \$ | - | \$1,320,000 | \$17,140,000 | \$18,460,000 |
| INTERNATIONAL BRIDGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax \& Bridge Revenue Refunding | 2005A | 3.38\% | 8/15/2022 |  | 9,755,000 |  | - | \$525,000 | \$7,280,000 | \$7,805,000 |
| Tax Notes | 2006 | 4.23\% | 8/15/2011 |  | 7,100,000 | - |  | 1,530,000 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,595,000 \\ \hline \$ 8,875,000 \end{array}$ | 3,125,000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ 16,855,000 |  | \$ | - | \$2,055,000 |  | \$10,930,000 |
| WATER \& SEWER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior Lien Revenue Bonds | 1988 | 0.00\% | 9/1/2013 | \$ | 3,260,000 | \$ | - | \$185,000 | \$565,000 | \$750,000 |
| Revenue Bonds CWSRF | 2007 | 2.85\% | 9/1/2027 |  | 29,000,000 |  | 25,973,309 | 745,000 | 26,815,000 | 27,560,000 |
| Revenue Bonds DWSRF | 2007A | 2.30\% | 9/1/2027 |  | 14,000,000 |  | 13,570,000 | 380,000 | 12,880,000 | 13,260,000 |
| Revenue Bonds NADBank | 2007B | 3.75\% | 9/1/2027 |  | 10,000,000 |  | 8,626,877 | 370,000 | 8,840,000 | 9,210,000 |
| Revenue Refunding Bonds | 2008 | 3.60\% | 9/1/2018 |  | 6,430,000 |  | - | 650,000 | 4,965,000 | 5,615,000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | 62,690,000 |  | 48,170,186 | \$2,330,000 | \$54,065,000 | \$56,395,000 |
| CDBG-HUD SECTION 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$2,125,000 HUD Section 108 | 2001A | 2.89\% | 1/1/2022 |  | 5,000,000 |  | - | \$255,000 | \$270,000 | \$525,000 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | 5,000,000 | \$ | - | \$ 255,000 | \$ 270,000 | \$ 525,000 |
|  | City-Wide Total |  |  |  | 114,855,000 |  | 48,170,186 | \$5,960,000 | \$80,350,000 | \$86,310,000 |

## CITY OF PHARR

## OUTSTANDING CAPITAL LEASES

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2009

| Lease Company | Leased During FY | Length of Lease | Maturity Date | Interest Rate | Lease Amount | Lease Balance |  | Payments - P\&I |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Monthly |  | Annual |
| 1- First Southwest | 05/06 | 5 Yrs | 12/15/2010 | 3.92\% | \$ 1,667,000 | \$ | 174,771 | \$ | 14,875 | \$ | 178,505 |
| Distribution/Owners: |  | neral Fund | 84.23\% |  | \$ 1,404,114 | \$ | 147,210 | \$ | 12,530 | \$ | 150,354 |
|  |  | Utilty Fund | 7.77\% |  | \$ 129,526 | \$ | 13,580 | \$ | 1,156 | \$ | 13,870 |
|  |  | Golf Fund | 8.00\% |  | \$ 133,360 | \$ | 13,982 | \$ | 1,190 | \$ | 14,280 |



| 3- SunTrust | 06/07 3 Yrs | 5/18/2010 | 3.94\% | \$ 1,300,000 | \$ | 189,858 | \$ | 38,346 | \$ | 306,772 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution/Owners: | General Fund Utilty Fund | 64.44\% |  | \$ 837,720 | \$ | 122,344 | \$ | 24,710 | \$ | 197,684 |
|  |  | 35.56\% |  | \$ 462,280 | \$ | 67,514 | \$ | 13,636 | \$ | 109,088 |
| 4- SunTrust | 07/08 7 Yrs | 11/14/2014 | 3.87\% | \$2,322,000 |  | ,695,291 | \$ | 31,600 | \$ | 379,201 |
| Distribution/Owners: | General Fund Paving \& Drainage Utilty Fund | 87.00\% |  | \$2,020,140 |  | ,474,903 | \$ | 27,492 | \$ | 329,905 |
|  |  | 11.20\% |  | \$ 260,064 | \$ | 189,873 | \$ | 3,539 | \$ | 42,471 |
|  |  | 1.80\% |  | \$ 41,796 | \$ | 30,515 | \$ | 569 | \$ | 6,826 |


| 5- SunTrust | 07/08 | 3 Yrs | 11/14/2010 | 3.77\% | \$ | 555,000 | \$ | 176,280 | \$ | 16,329 | \$ | 195,949 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution/Owners: |  | General Fund | 100.00\% |  | \$ | 555,000 | \$ | 176,280 | \$ | 16,329 | \$ | 195,949 |


| 6- Mun. Svc's Group 08/09 | 7 Yrs | 5/20/2014 | 5.49\% | \$ | 895,638 | \$ | 803,337 | \$ | 17,104 | \$ | 68,416 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| istribution/Own | Bridge Fund | 100.00\% |  | \$ | 895,638 | \$ | 803,337 | \$ | 17,104 | \$ | 68,416 |


| General Fund | \$5,096,574 | \$2,070,485 | \$ 84,893 | \$ 919,878 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paving \& Drainage | 260,064 | 189,873 | 3,539 | 42,471 |
| Utilty Fund | 633,602 | 111,608 | 15,361 | 129,784 |
| Golf Fund | 133,360 | 13,982 | 1,190 | 14,280 |
| Bridge Fund | 895,638 | 803,337 | 17,104 | 68,416 |
| City-Wide Total | \$7,019,238 | \$ 3,189,285 | \$122,087 | \$ 1,174,828 |

Department/

## Program Title

## Police Department

$\begin{array}{lr}\text {-Operations Task Force } & - \\ \text {-STEP Comprehensive Grant }\end{array}$
-STEP Comprehensive Grant $\quad 9,884$
-El Protector Grant -
-Domestic Highway Enforcement -
-Byrne Formula Grant -
-Edward Byrne Grant -
-Stonegarden Grant
Total Police Department Grant Expenses: $\quad 36,156$

## Fire Department

-Homeland Security Grant Program 06
-Homeland Security Grant Program $07 \quad 5,815$
-Staffing for Adequate Fire \& Emergency Response 65,220
-FEMA-Assistance to Firefighter Grant -
FEMA-Safer Grant
Total Fire Department Grant Expenses:

## Library Department <br> -TIFB Grant

Total Library Department Grant Expenses:

## CDBG

Program Year 05

Federal
Expenditures

| Department/ | State |
| :--- | :---: |
| Program Title | Expenditures |

## Police Department

-Local Border Security Program -
-Victim Coordinator Liason Grant -
-South Texas Auto Theft Enforcement Task Force 09 10,637
-STEP-Click It or Ticket
Total Police Department Grant Expenses: $\quad 10,637$
Fire Department
-Fire Department Emergeacy Program
Total Fire Department Grant Expenses:
Library
-Loan Star Library Grant
Total Library Department Grant Expenses:
Public Works Department
-Source Reduction \& Recycling
Total Public Works Department Grant Expenses:


TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS FOR Q1:
10,637

TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL \& STATE AWARDS FOR Q
1\&2:
1,225,889

# CITY OF PHARR, TX <br> Investment Report Summary 

December 31, 2009

## COMPLIANCE

This report complies with the requirements of the Public Funds Investment Act as well as the City of Pharr's adopted investment policy. The City is in compliance with all provisions of the Public Funds Investment Act and the City of Pharr's investment policy. The City's investment portfolio includes activity in demand deposits and certificates of deposit.

## LIQUIDITY

The greatest length of maturity for all of our investments is 1 day. The weighted average maturity including demand deposits is 1.00 day and 1 day without demand deposits. Both of these amounts are within legal and City requirements.

## CHANGE IN BOOK AND MARKET VALUE

The investment book value was roughly $\$ 65.2$ million and had increased by approximately $\$ 1.6$ million from the previous quarter. The increase was due to one reason: 1) the start of the property tax revenue collection. During the month of December, property tax collections increased in comparison to the previous quarter. This increase was expected and was budgeted accordingly.

The market value of the portfolio was the same than the book value. The reason is due to demand deposits not having a market value other than its book value. All funds are in demand deposits due to our financial institution providing a much greater interest rate than any other financing tool while being fully collateralize.

## PORTFOLIO YIELD

The portfolio yield of $2.02 \%$ was higher than the benchmark yields on the 90 -day T-Bill and Average Federal Funds Rate, which were $0.05 \%$ and $0.12 \%$ respectively (per federal reserve website yield postings). The spread between the portfolio yield and the benchmark yield is mainly due to the depository's favorable interest rate. Safety and liquidity is a greater concern than yield. With the continued grasp of our operational and capital funding needs, a better understanding of the amount of funds available for investments should take place.

## INVESTMENT MATURITIES/PURCHASES

There were no investments that were purchased or matured during the quarter.

All other transactions were routine. The attached schedules are also a part of this investment report.

Presented by City of Pharr Investment Officers:


Juan G. Guerra, Finance Director

## CITY OF PHARR, TX

## Detailed Investment Report

As of December 31, 2009

| Investment | Par | VALUES - Sept 30, 2009 |  | Book Increase/(Decrease) |  | Market Increase/(Decrease) |  | VALUES - Dec 31, 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Purchases/ Withdrawals | Accruals/ Amortizations | Purchases \& Withdrawals | Change In Market Price |  |  |
|  |  | Book | Market |  |  |  |  | Book | Market |
| DEMAND DEPOSITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pooled Cash: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non - Pooled Cash: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depository Bank - General | 6,495,163 | 6,035,231 | 6,035,231 | 459,932 | - | 459,932 | - | 6,495,163 | 6,495,163 |
| Depository Bank - Utility | 43,768,220 | 42,910,457 | 42,910,457 | 857,763 | - | 857,763 | - | 43,768,220 | 43,768,220 |
| Depository Bank - Bridge | 3,022,062 | 1,881,444 | 1,881,444 | 1,140,618 | - | 1,140,618 | - | 3,022,062 | 3,022,062 |
| Depository Bank - Other | 1,862,220 | 1,726,359 | 1,726,359 | 135,861 | $\underline{-}$ | 135,861 | $=$ | 1,862,220 | 1,862.220 |
|  | 65,236,698 | 63,562,982 | 63,562,982 | 1,673,716 | - | 1,673,716 | - | 65,236,698 | 65,236,698 |
| CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Certificates of Deposit - General | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Cerrificates of Deposit - Bridge | - | - | - | - | - | - | * | - | - |
| Certificates of Deposit - Hotel | - |  | - | —— | $-$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |
| INVESTMENT POOLS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tex-Pool | - | - | - | - | - | - | " | - | - |
| TexStar - Utility | - - | - | - - | $\underline{\square}$ | $\underline{\square}$ | - | - | - | - - |
| SECURITY INVESTMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -- | - | - | - | * | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | \$ . | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | \$ |
|  | \$65,236,698 | \$63,562,982 | \$63,562,982 | \$ 1.673.716 | \$ | \$ 1.673,716 | \$ | \$65,236,698 | \$65,236,698 |

## CITY OF PHARR, TX

## Comparison of Portfolio to Policy Limits

As of December 31, 2009

| LIMITS ON TYPES OF SECURITIES | Target Investment Level | Portfolio Cap | Actual <br> Percentage of Portfolio | Positive/(Negative) \% Variance Policy Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand Deposits | N/A | N/A | 100.00\% | N/A |
| Money Market Mutual Funds | 0\% | 10\% | 0.00\% | 10.00\% |
| Certificates of Deposit | 10\% | 50\% | 0.00\% | 50.00\% |
| U. S. Treasury Obligations | 15\% | 100\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| U. S. Government Securities | 20\% | 100\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Repurchase Agreements | 5\% | 100\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Public Funds Investment Pools | 50\% | 100\% | 0.00\% | 100.00\% |
| Commercial Paper | 0\% | 25\% | 0.00\% | 25.00\% |
| Guaranteed Investment Contracts | 0\% | 25\% | 0.00\% | 25.00\% |


| LIMITS ON MATURITIES | Minimum <br> Allowable <br> Percentage <br> of Portfolio | Actual Percentage of Portfolio | Positive/(Negative) <br> \% Variance Policy Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available within 1 month | 25\% | 100.00\% | 75.00\% |
| Available within 3 months | 33\% | 100.00\% | 67.00\% |
| Available within 6 months | 45\% | 100.00\% | 55.00\% |
| Available within 1 year | 60\% | 100.00\% | 40.00\% |
| Available within 2 years | 70\% | 0 | N/A |
|  | Maximum <br> Allowable | Maximum <br> Actual <br> Maturity | Policy Limit Days Available |
| Days to Maturity | 730 | 1 | 729.00 |

# CITY OF PHARR, TX 

Market Value Analysis
As of December 31, 2009

## CHANGES IN MARKET VALUE:

BEGINNING VALUE - September 30, 2009 ..... $\$ 63,562,982$
INVESTMENT ACTIVITY:
Purchases ..... \$0
Maturities - Accrued
Net Changes in Market Price
Bank and Pooled Investment Deposits/(Withdrawals) - Net ..... $1,673,716$
ENDING VALUE - December 31, 2009

| Market Value | $\$ 63,562,982$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Book Value | $\$ 63,562,982$ |

Ratio of Market Value to Book Value
$100.00 \%$

ENDING VALUE - December 31, 2009

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Market Value } & \$ 65,236,698 \\
\text { Book Value } & \$ 65,236,698
\end{array}
$$Ratio of Market Value to Book Value$100.00 \%$

CITY OF PHARR, TX

## Calculation of Weighted Average Maturity and Yield

As of December 31, 2009

| Market | Original <br> \# of Days <br> Yield to | Percent <br> of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Value }}$ | Book Value To Maturity |  |


| Demand Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depository Bank - Pooled Cash | $\$ 10,089,033$ | $\$ 10,089,033$ | 1 | $2.02 \%$ | $15.47 \%$ |
| Depository Bank - General | $\$ 6,495,163$ | $\$ 6,495,163$ | 1 | $2.02 \%$ | $9.96 \%$ |
| Depository Bank - Utility | $\$ 43,768,220$ | $\$ 43,768,220$ | 1 | $2.02 \%$ | $67.09 \%$ |
| Depository Bank - Bridge | $\$ 3,022,062$ | $\$ 3,022,062$ | 1 | $2.02 \%$ | $4.63 \%$ |
| Depository Bank - Other | $\$ 1,862,220$ | $\$ 1,862,220$ | 1 | $2.02 \%$ | $\underline{2.85 \%}$ |
|  | $\$ 65,236,698$ | $\$ 65,236,698$ |  |  | $100.00 \%$ |

## Certificates of Deposit

| Certificates of Deposit - General | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Certificates of Deposit - Bridge | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Certificates of Deposit - Hotel | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | $\underline{0.00 \%}$ |
|  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |  |  | $0.00 \%$ |

## Investment Pools

| Tex-Pool | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TexStar - Utility | $\underline{\$ 0}$ | $\underline{\$ 0}$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | $\underline{0.00 \%}$ |
|  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |  |  | $0.00 \%$ |

Federal Securities and Notes

| -- | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | $\underline{0.00 \%}$ |
|  | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |  |  | $0.00 \%$ |

TOTAL $\$ 65,236,698$ \$65,236,698
$\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$

## Weighted Average Maturity

| Weighted Average Days to Maturity | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Weighted Average Days to Maturity - (excl. depository bank) | 1.00 |

## Weighted Average Yield

| Weighted Average Yield | $2.02 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Weighted Average Yield - Operating (excluding depository bank) | $2.02 \%$ |
| Average Fed Fund Rate at December 31, 2009 | $0.12 \%$ |
| Yield to Maturity of 90 day T-Bill at December 31, 2009 (secondary markets) | $0.05 \%$ |

# CITY OF PHARR, TX 

## Year To Date Investment Transaction Report

As of December 31, 2009

## PURCHASES

| Date | Type | Investment \# | Purchased From | Principal <br> Purchase Price | $\begin{gathered} \text { Market/Par } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ | Yield/Int. <br> Discount <br> Rate | Maturity Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total Purchases | \$0 | \$0 |  |  |
| MATURITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | Type | Investment \# | Matured From | Principal <br> Purchase Price | Par <br> Value | Yield/Int. <br> Discount <br> Rate | Maturity <br> Date |

City of Pharr
Depository Security Collateral Analysis

|  | October |  |  |  | November |  |  |  | December |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highest Bal |  | Ending Bal |  | Highest Bal |  | Ending Bal |  | Highest Bal |  | Ending Bal |  |
| Depository Bank - Pooled Cash | \$ | 10,631,857 | \$ | 7,697,968 | \$ | 8,558,939 | \$ | 7,508,838 | \$ | 10,089,033 | \$ | 10,089,033 |
| Depository Bank - General |  | 6,178,523 |  | 6,178,523 |  | 6,338,916 |  | 6,338,916 |  | 6,500,081 |  | 6,495,163 |
| Depository Bank - Utility |  | 44,994,716 |  | 44,936,237 |  | 45,551,285 |  | 45,551,285 |  | 46,117,645 |  | 43,768,220 |
| Depository Bank - Bridge |  | 2,594,943 |  | 2,594,943 |  | 2,808,168 |  | 2,808,168 |  | 3,022,062 |  | 3,022,062 |
| Depository Bank - Other |  | 2,162,299 |  | 1,496,932 |  | 2,348,618 |  | 1,570,515 |  | 2,654,612 |  | 1,862,220 |
|  | \$ | 66,562,339 | \$ | 62,904,604 | \$ | 65,605,926 | \$ | 63,777,722 | \$ | 68,383,434 | \$ | 65,236,699 |
| LSNB - Balance | \$ | 66,562,339 | \$ | 62,904,604 | \$ | 65,605,926 | \$ | 63,777,722 | \$ | 68,383,434 | \$ | 65,236,699 |
| Collateral-LSNB | \$ | 66,129,670 | \$ | 67,020,389 | \$ | 67,020,389 | \$ | 64,978,352 | \$ | 64,978,352 | \$ | 65,359,972 |
| Over / (Under) collateral | \$ | $(432,669)$ | \$ | 4,115,784 | \$ | 1,414,462 | \$ | 1,200,630 | \$ | $(3,405,082)$ | \$ | 123,273 |
| Percent of balance |  | -0.7\% |  | 6.5\% |  | 2.2\% |  | 1.9\% |  | -5.0\% |  | 0.2\% |

